

1 **8.0 Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations**

2
3 This chapter summarizes the results of the RI at Parcel 94(7) and presents the major conclusions.
4 In addition, recommendations are made regarding further investigations at the site.
5

6 **8.1 Summary and Conclusions**

7 8 **8.1.1 Geophysical Survey**

9 A geophysical survey was conducted at Parcel 94(7) to identify potential USTs that may have
10 been associated with former gas stations at the site (Parcels 132[7], 133[7], and 134[7]). Seven
11 anomalies were identified in the geophysical data as potential USTs. The three highest ranked
12 anomalies were further investigated using exploratory trenching and excavation and were
13 determined to be buried metal culvert, metal piping, and a reinforced concrete slab. No USTs
14 were found at Parcels 132(7), 133(7), and 134(7).
15

16 **8.1.2 Geology and Hydrogeology**

17 Data collected from surface and subsurface soil samples and from 19 permanent monitoring
18 wells were used to provide site-specific data on the geologic and hydrogeologic conditions at
19 Parcel 94(7).
20

21 The lithologic sequence encountered during the drilling for monitoring well installation consisted
22 of clayey silt and silty sand residuum overlying fractured, weathered limestone and interbedded
23 weathered shale. The depth to bedrock ranged from 6 to 50 feet bgs. The bedrock interval
24 drilled was characteristic of the Ordovician age, undifferentiated Little Oak and Newala
25 Limestone. Mud-filled voids were present in some of the limestone intervals.
26

27 Groundwater elevation data were collected from a period of above-average precipitation
28 (August) and from a below-average period of precipitation (November). Groundwater flow in
29 the residuum for both measurement periods was shown to follow surface topography eastward
30 toward Ingram Creek. Groundwater flow within the bedrock aquifer appears to be controlled by
31 structure present at the site and flows approximately radially away from the axis of an anticlinal
32 fold present at the site.
33

34 Hydraulic conductivity values were calculated from rising and falling head slug tests conducted
35 on five wells. Hydraulic conductivity values calculated from slug tests for residuum wells

1 yielded a geometric mean of 4.12 ft/day and, for bedrock wells, a mean of 0.144 ft/day. The
2 average linear groundwater flow velocity was calculated to be 0.283 ft/day in the residuum and
3 0.027 ft/day in the bedrock.
4

5 **8.1.3 Soil Contaminant Distribution**

6 Sixteen surface soil samples, four depositional soil samples, and fifteen subsurface soil samples
7 were collected for chemical analyses.
8

9 Metals were detected above human health SSSLs and ESVs in surface and depositional samples.
10 Concentrations of metals above SSSLs were also present in subsurface soil samples. However, a
11 statistical and geochemical evaluation indicated that the elevated concentrations most likely
12 result from the preferential enrichment of samples with clays, iron, or manganese oxides that
13 naturally concentrate specific trace elements. All of the metals, except for lead in one subsurface
14 soil sample, were determined to be naturally occurring. The lead result, however, was well
15 below its SSSL and only marginally exceeded its background concentration.
16

17 VOCs were detected in surface and subsurface soils, but at concentrations below SSSLs and
18 ESVs. Several SVOCs, all of which were PAH compounds, were detected at concentrations
19 exceeding SSSLs, ESVs, and PAH background values in a limited number of samples. The
20 source of the PAHs is likely the degradation of the asphalt pavement at Parcel 94(7). Leaching
21 of these compounds to the groundwater is considered unlikely; PAHs were not detected in
22 groundwater. SVOCs, predominantly PAHs compounds, were detected in subsurface soils.
23 With the exception of a common laboratory contaminant, the SVOCs were detected in only one
24 subsurface soil sample. Of the SVOCs detected in subsurface soils, only one PAH compound
25 (benzo[a]pyrene) exceeded its SSSL; however, its concentration was qualified as an estimated
26 concentration. Two PCB Aroclors were detected in one subsurface soil sample at concentrations
27 below their respective SSSLs.
28

29 **8.1.4 Groundwater Contaminant Distribution**

30 Twenty-one groundwater samples were collected from 19 monitoring wells for chemical
31 analysis. Metals were detected in groundwater samples, some of which exceeded their respective
32 SSSLs. However, based on an integrated geochemical and statistical evaluation, all of the metals
33 detected were determined to be naturally occurring.
34

1 Two SVOCs were detected in groundwater, but at concentrations below SSSLs; PCBs were not
2 detected in groundwater.

3
4 Five VOCs were detected in groundwater at concentrations exceeding SSSLs, namely benzene,
5 chlorobenzene, TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride. With the exception of benzene, which
6 was detected in only one well, the occurrence of these contaminants is restricted to an area
7 centered on a cluster of three wells. This cluster is located adjacent to an eastward extension of
8 the parcel boundary and may reflect releases to the ground from historical operations conducted
9 at two former chemical impregnation plants at the site. The association of chlorinated solvents in
10 the wells indicates that reductive dechlorination is occurring. Although the source of benzene in
11 groundwater is not known, it may be related to former motor pool operations. The horizontal
12 extent of benzene, chlorobenzene, TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride has been defined. The
13 vertical extent of TCE contamination, however, has not been adequately determined.

14 15 **8.1.5 Surface Water and Sediment Contaminant Distribution**

16 Five collocated surface water and sediment samples were collected from Ingram Creek for
17 chemical analysis. All five samples were analyzed for VOCs; two of the sample pairs were also
18 analyzed for metals and SVOCs.

19
20 Metals concentrations in surface water and sediment were below SSSLs. A few metals results
21 exceeded ESVs in surface water and sediment but were below their respective background
22 concentrations or within the upper background range.

23
24 VOCs were detected in both surface water and sediments at concentrations below their respective
25 SSSLs and ESVs. The SVOC phenol was detected in two surface water samples at
26 concentrations below its SSSL and ESV. Five SVOCs, all of which were PAHs, were detected
27 above their respective ESVs in one of the two sediment samples. The location of the sediment
28 sample, however, is immediately downstream from the outfall for an asphalt-covered vehicle
29 driving course. Therefore, the PAHs are not believed to be related to Parcel 94(7). PCBs were
30 not detected in the surface water or sediment samples.

31 32 **8.1.6 Streamlined Human Health Risk Assessment**

33 An SRA was performed to determine the potential threat to human health from exposure to
34 environmental media at Parcel 94(7). Four receptor scenarios were evaluated in the SRA:
35 groundskeeper, construction worker, recreational site user, and resident. The SRA concluded

1 that exposure to environmental media at Parcel 94(7) does not pose an unacceptable cancer risk
2 or noncancer hazard for the recreational site user, construction worker, or groundskeeper.

3
4 Five PAH compounds were selected as total soil COPCs based on cancer risk for the resident. In
5 addition, four VOCs were selected as groundwater COPCs based on noncancer hazard for the
6 resident: chlorobenzene, cis-1,2-DCE, TCE, and vinyl chloride. TCE and vinyl chloride were
7 also selected based on cancer risk. The total HI for the resident, when rounded to one significant
8 figure, does not exceed the threshold value of 1, indicating that exposure to site media does not
9 pose an unacceptable noncancer hazard. However, the total ILCR for the resident, due largely to
10 vinyl chloride in groundwater, exceeds the risk management range, indicating that exposure to
11 site media poses an unacceptable cancer risk to a future resident. COCs identified in the SRA
12 included TCE and vinyl chloride in groundwater and four PAHs in soil. However, the PAHs
13 probably reflect the presence of asphalt at the site rather than any activity or inadvertent release
14 attributable to mission-related Army activities.

15 16 **8.1.7 Screening-Level Ecological Risk Assessment**

17 A SLERA was performed to determine the potential for ecological risks posed by site-related
18 chemicals at Parcel 94(7). COPECs identified in the SLERA included metals and PAHs in
19 surface soils and in one sediment sample. No COPECs were identified for surface water from
20 Ingram Creek. An integrated statistical and geochemical evaluation concluded that the metals
21 detected above ESVs in surface soil are associated with iron oxides and are naturally occurring.
22 The metals in the sediment sample were determined to be insignificant because their
23 concentrations only slightly exceeded ESVs and/or background threshold values. The PAHs in
24 surface soils and sediments at the site are most likely related to asphalt pavement in and around
25 Parcel 94(7) and are probably not related to mission-related Army operations conducted at the
26 site. Therefore, the SLERA concluded that none of the COPECs presents a risk to the terrestrial
27 or aquatic ecosystems.

28 29 **8.2 Recommendations**

30 Based on the summary and conclusions presented above, no further actions are warranted with
31 respect to defining the extent of contamination in soils, surface water, and sediment. However,
32 the results indicate that additional investigation is warranted with respect to groundwater
33 contamination at the site. Specifically, the cluster of three monitoring wells (FTA-94-MW01,
34 FTA-94-MW11, and FTA-94-MW13) likely identifies the location of a significant release of
35 chlorinated solvents associated with historical operations conducted at the former chemical

1 impregnation plants. The RI adequately defined the horizontal extent of the groundwater
2 contamination but did not completely determine the vertical extent.

3
4 The association of TCE, cis-1,2-DCE, and vinyl chloride in the two shallower wells (FTA-94-
5 MW01 and FTA-94-MW11) within the cluster strongly supports that reductive dechlorination of
6 the chlorinated solvents in groundwater is occurring. TCE was detected in the deepest of the
7 three wells (FTA-94-MW13) at a concentration of 0.007 mg/L, well below the elevated
8 concentrations in the two shallower wells but still slightly above the MCL (0.005 mg/L) for this
9 compound.

10
11 Based on the above results, the following recommendations are made:

- 12
13 • Collect a minimum of two additional rounds of groundwater samples from all
14 wells at Parcel 94(7). Samples should be collected every six months and analyzed
15 for VOCs and natural attenuation parameters. This short-term monitoring period
16 would identify spatial and temporal changes in groundwater contamination of the
17 existing wells. It would also give a preliminary determination whether
18 contaminants are migrating vertically.
- 19
20 • Conduct a feasibility study or focused feasibility study to screen remedial action
21 technologies and process options for groundwater remedial alternatives. These
22 options would likely include long-term monitoring, monitored natural attenuation,
23 in situ enhanced bioremediation, and in situ chemical oxidation.

9.0 References

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1993, *Toxicological Profile for Beryllium*, U.S. Public Health Service.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1992, *Toxicological Profile for Manganese*, U.S. Public Health Service.

Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (ADCNR), 1994a, *Natural Heritage Inventory of Fort McClellan, Main Post: Federal Endangered, Threatened, Candidate Species and State-Listed Species*, Alabama Natural Heritage Program.

Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (ADCNR), 1994b, *Natural Heritage Inventory of Fort McClellan, Pelham Range: Federal Endangered, Threatened, Candidate Species and State-Listed Species*, Alabama Natural Heritage Program.

Alloway, B.J., 1990, *Heavy Metals in Soils*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, 339 p.

Amelung, M., 1981, "Auswirkungen Geloster Eisenverbindungen auf die Ei und Larvalentwicklung von *Salmo gairdneri*," *Arch. Fisch. Wiss.* 32:77-87.

Anderson, R. L., C. T. Walbridge, and J. T. Fiandt, 1980, "Survival and Growth of *Tanytarsus dissimilis* (Chironomidae) Exposed to Copper, Cadmium, Zinc, and Lead," *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 9:329-335.

Armstrong, F.A.J., 1979, "Effects of Mercury Compounds on Fish," in *The Biogeochemistry of Mercury in the Environment*, J.O. Nriagu (ed.), Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press, New York, pp. 657-670.

Arthur, M.A., G. Rubin, P.B. Woodbury, R.E. Schneider, and L.H. Weinstein, 1992, "Uptake and Accumulation of Selenium by Terrestrial Plants Growing on a Coal Fly Ash Landfill; Part 2: Forage and Root Crops," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol. 11, pp. 1289-1299.

Aulerich, R. J., R. K. Ringer, and M. R. Bleavins, 1982, "Effects of Supplemental Dietary Copper on Growth, Reproductive Performance, and Kit Survival of Standard Dark Mink and Acute Toxicity of Copper to Mink," *J. Animal Sci.*, 55:337-343.

Baudouin, M. F., and P. Scoppa, 1974, "Acute Toxicity of Various Metals to Freshwater Zooplankton," *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 12:745-751.

Besser, J.M., T.J. Canfield, and T.W. LaPoint, 1993, "Bioaccumulation of Organic and Inorganic Selenium in a Laboratory Food Chain," *Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, Vol. 12, pp. 57-72.

- 1 Beyer, W.N., O. H. Pattee, L. Sileo, D. J. Hoffman, and B. M. Mulhern, 1985, "Metal
2 Contamination in Wildlife Living Near Two Zinc Smelters," *Environ. Pollut.*, 38A:63-86.
3
- 4 Biesinger, K.E., and G.M. Christensen, 1972, "Effects of Various Metals on Survival, Growth,
5 Reproduction, and Metabolism of *Daphnia magna*," *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada*, 29:1691-1700.
6
- 7 Boggess, W. R. (Ed.), 1977, "Lead in the Environment," *Natl. Sci. Found.*, Rep. NSF/RA
8 770214, 272 pp.
9
- 10 Boikat, U., A. Fink, and J. Bleck-Neuhaus, 1985, "Cesium and Cobalt Transfer from Soil to
11 Vegetation on Permanent Pastures," *Radiation and Environmental Biophysics*, 24: 287-301.
12
- 13 Browne, C.L., and S.C. Fang, 1978, "Uptake of Mercury Vapor by Wheat: An Assimilation
14 Model," *Plant Physiology*, Vol. 61, p. 430.
15
- 16 Bull, K.R., R.D. Roberts, M.J. Inskip, and G.T. Goodman, 1977, "Mercury Concentrations in
17 Soil, Grass, Earthworms, and Small Mammals Near an Industrial Emission Source,"
18 *Environmental Pollution*, Vol. 12, pp. 135-140.
19
- 20 Burrows, E. P., D. H. Rosenblatt, W. R. Mitchell, and D. L. Parmer, 1989, *Organic Explosives
21 and Related Compounds: Environmental and Health Considerations*, U.S. Army Technical
22 Report 8901.
23
- 24 Cain, B.W., and E.A. Pafford, 1981, "Effects of Dietary Nickel on Survival and Growth of
25 Lallard Ducklings," *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 10: 737-745.
26
- 27 Cairns, J., and A. Scheier, 1968, "A Comparison of the Toxicity of Some Common Industrial
28 Waste Components Tested Individually and Combined," *Prog. Fish-Cult.* 30:3-8.
29
- 30 Callahan, M. A., M. W. Slimak, and N. Gabel, 1979, *Water-Related Environmental Fate of 129
31 Priority Pollutants, Volume I*, Office of Water and Waste Management, U.S. Environmental
32 Protection Agency, EPA/440/4-79/092a, Washington, DC.
33
- 34 Carins, M. A., A. V. Nebeker, J. H. Gakstatter, and W. L. Griffis, 1984, "Toxicity of Copper
35 Spiked Sediments to Freshwater Invertebrates," *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 3(3):435-446.
36
- 37 Carpenter, K. E., 1926, "The Lead Mine as an Active Agent in River Pollution," *Ann. Appl.
38 Biol.*, 13:395.
39
- 40 Carpenter, K. E., 1925, "On the Biological Factors Involved in the Destruction of River Fisheries
41 by Pollution Due to Lead Mining," *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 12:1.
42
- 43 Carpenter, K. E., 1924, "A Study of the Faunal Rivers Polluted by Lead Mining in the
44 Aberystwyth District of Cardiganshire", *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 11:1.
45

- 1 CH2M Hill, 1994, *Environmental Compliance Assessment System Report, Fort McClellan,*
2 *Alabama, 24 May-June, 1993*, prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Mobile
3 District.
- 4
- 5 Clark, M. L., D. G. Harvey, and D. J. Humphreys, 1981, *Veterinary Toxicology - Second*
6 *Edition*, Bailliere-Tindall, London, England.
- 7
- 8 Cline and Adams, 1997, *Amphibians and Reptiles of Fort McClellan, Calhoun County,*
9 *Alabama*, Jacksonville State University.
- 10
- 11 Cloud, P. E., Jr., 1966, *Bauxite Deposits of the Anniston, Fort Payne, and Asheville Areas,*
12 *Northeast Alabama*, U. S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1199-O, 35p.
- 13
- 14 Cox, D. H., S. A. Schlicker, and R. C. Chu, 1969, "Excess Dietary Zinc for the Maternal Rat and
15 Zinc, Iron, Copper, Calcium, and Magnesium Content and Enzyme Activity in Maternal and
16 Fetal Tissues," *J. Nutr.*, 98:459-466.
- 17
- 18 Coyle, J.J., D.R. Buckler, C.G. Ingersoll, J.F. Fairchild, and T.W. May, 1993, "Effect of Dietary
19 Selenium on the Reproductive Success of Bluegills (*Lepomis macrochirus*)," *Environmental*
20 *Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol. 12, pp. 551-565.
- 21
- 22 Dave, G., 1984, "Effects of Waterborne Iron on Growth, Reproduction, Survival, and
23 Haemoglobin in *Daphnia magna*," *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.*, 78C:433-438.
- 24
- 25 Davies, P. M., J. P. Goettl Jr., J. R. Sinley, and N. F. Smith, 1976, "Acute and Chronic Toxicity
26 of Lead to Rainbow Trout (*Salmo Gairdneri*) in Hard and Soft Water," *Water Res.*, 10:199.
- 27
- 28 Dawson, A. B., 1935, "The Hemopoietic Response in the Catfish, *Ameiurus nebulosus*, to
29 Chronic Lead Poisoning," *Biol. Bull.*, 68:335.
- 30
- 31 Dragun, J., 1988, *The Soil Chemistry of Hazardous Materials: Hazardous Material Control*
32 *Research Institute*, Silver Spring, Maryland, 458 p.
- 33
- 34 EDAW, Inc. 1997, *Fort McClellan Comprehensive Reuse Plan, Implementation Strategy,*
35 report prepared for the Fort McClellan Reuse and Redevelopment Authority of Alabama,
36 November.
- 37
- 38 Efroymsen, R.A., M.E. Will, G.W. Suter, and A.C. Wooten, 1997, *Toxicological Benchmarks*
39 *for Screening Contaminants of Potential Concern for Effects on Terrestrial Plants: 1997*
40 *Revision*, Office of Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge,
41 Tennessee, ES/ER/TM-85/R3.
- 42
- 43 Eisler, R., 1993, *Zinc Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review*, U.S.
44 Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report, 85(1.26), 123 pp.
- 45

1 Eisler, R., 1988, *Lead Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review*, U.S.
2 Fish and Wildlife Service, *Biological Report*, 85(1.14), 134 pp.
3
4 Eisler, R., 1987a, *Mercury Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review*,
5 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biol. Rep. 85(1.10), 75 pp.
6
7 Eisler, R., 1987b, *Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and*
8 *Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review*, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biol. Rep. 85(1.11), 81 pp.
9
10 Eisler, R., 1985, *Selenium Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review*,
11 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 85(1.5), 57 p.
12
13 Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1998, *Final Environmental Baseline*
14 *Survey, Fort McClellan, Alabama*, prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Aberdeen
15 Proving Ground, Maryland, January.
16
17 Evans, R. D., D. Andrews, and R. J. Cornett, 1988, "Chemical Fractioning and Bioavailability of
18 Cobalt-60 to Benthic Deposit Feeders," *Journal of Canadian Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*,
19 45:228-236.
20
21 Fetter, C.W., 1988, *Applied Hydrogeology*, Merrill Publishing Company, Columbus Ohio.
22
23 Fimreite, N., 1979, "Accumulation and Effects of Mercury on Birds," in *The Biogeochemistry of*
24 *Mercury in the Environment*, J.O. Nriagu (ed.), Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press, New
25 York, pp. 601-627.
26
27 Finger, S. E., E. F. Little, M. G. Henry, J. F. Fairchild, and T. P. Boyle, 1985, *Comparison of*
28 *Laboratory and Field Assessment of Fluorene -- Part I: Effects of Fluorene on the Survival,*
29 *Growth, Reproduction, and Behavior of Aquatic Organisms in Laboratory Tests. Validation*
30 *and Predictability of Laboratory Methods for Assessing the Fate and Effects of Contaminants*
31 *in Aquatic Ecosystems*, T. P. Boyle, ed., American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM
32 STP 865: 120-133.
33
34 Fishbein, L., 1981, "Sources, Transport, and Alterations of Metal Compounds: an Overview. I.
35 Arsenic, Beryllium, Cadmium, Chromium, and Nickel," *Environmental Health Perspectives*,
36 40:43-64.
37
38 Foy, C.D., R.L. Chaney, and M.C. White, 1978, "The Physiology of Metal Toxicity in Plants,"
39 *Ann. Review Plant Physiol.*, 29:511-566.
40
41 Francis, C. W., E. C. Davis, and J. C. Goyert, 1980, "Plant Uptake of Trace Elements from Coal
42 Gasification Ashes," *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 14:561-569.
43
44 Frost, D.V., and P.M. Lish, 1975, "Selenium in Biology," *Annual Rev. Pharmacology*, Vol. 15,
45 pp. 259-284.
46

- 1 Fuller, R. H., and R. C. Averett, 1975, "Evaluation of Copper Accumulation in Part of the
2 California Aqueduct," *Water Res. Bull.*, 11:946-952.
- 3
- 4 Ganther, H.E., 1974, "Biochemistry of Selenium," in *Selenium*, R.A. Zingaro and W.C. Cooper,
5 eds, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, pp. 546-614.
- 6
- 7 Ganther, H.E., C. Goude, M.L. Sundi, M.J. Kopeky, P. Wagner, S. Oh, and W.G. Hoeksta, 1972,
8 "Selenium: Relationship to Decreased Toxicity of Methylmercury Added to Diets Containing
9 Tuna," *Science*, Vol. 175, pp. 1122-1124.
- 10
- 11 Garland, B. W., 1996, *Endangered Species Management Plan for Fort McClellan, Alabama*,
12 Directorate of Environment.
- 13
- 14 Gatlin, D.M., and R.P. Wilson, 1984, "Dietary Selenium Requirement of Fingerling Channel
15 Catfish," *Journal of Nutrition*, Vol. 114, pp. 627-633.
- 16
- 17 Getz, L. L., A. W. Haney, R. W. Larimore, J. W. McNurney, H. W. Leyland, P. W. Price, G. L.
18 Rolfe, R. L. Wortman, J. L. Hudson, R. L. Soloman, and K. A. Reinbold, 1977, "Transport and
19 Distribution in a Watershed Ecosystem: Lead in the Environment," Boggess (ed.), *Natl. Sci.*
20 *Found.*, p. 105-134.
- 21
- 22 Gregus, Z., and C.D. Klaassen, 1986, "Disposition of Metals in Rats: A Comparative Study of
23 Fecal, Urinary, and Biliary Excretion and Tissue Distribution of Eighteen Metals," *Toxicology*
24 *and Applied Pharmacology*, Vol. 85, pp. 24-38.
- 25
- 26 Hall, R.J., and B.M. Mulhern, 1984, "Are Anuran Amphibians Heavy Metal Accumulators?," in
27 *Vertebrate Ecology and Systematics – A Tribute to Henry S. Fitch*, M.R.A. Seigel, L.E. Hunt,
28 J.L. Knight, L. Malaret, and N.L. Zuschlag (eds.), Museum of Natural History, University of
29 Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, pp.123-133.
- 30
- 31 Hammond, P.B., and R.P. Beliles, 1980, "Metals," in *Casarett and Doull's Toxicology: The*
32 *Basic Science of Poisons*, 2nd edition, J. Doull, C.D. Klaassen, and M.O. Amdur (eds.),
33 Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York, NY, pp. 409-4671.
- 34
- 35 Hara, T., Y. Sonoda, and I. Iwai, 1976, "Growth Response of Cabbage Plants to Transition
36 Elements Under Water Culture Conditions," *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 22(3):307-315.
- 37
- 38 Hayes, A.W., 1994, *Principles and Methods of Toxicology*, 3rd edition, Raven Press, New York,
39 NY.
- 40
- 41 Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST), 1997, Office of Solid Waste and
42 Emergency Response, Washington, DC, EPA-540-R-97-036.
- 43
- 44 Heinz, G.H., D.J. Hoffman, A.J. Krynitsky, and D.M.G. Weller, 1987, "Reproduction in
45 Mallards Fed Selenium," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol. 6, pp. 423-433.
- 46

- 1 Hernandez, L. M., J. Gonzalez, C. Rico, et al., 1985, "Presence and Biomagnification of
2 Organochlorine Pollutants and Heavy Metals in Mammals in Donana National Park (Spain),"
- 3 *J. Environ. Sci. Health*, 20:633-650.
- 4
- 5 Hill, H.F., 1981, *Inorganic and Organic Mercury Chloride Toxicity to Cortunix: Sensitivity*
6 *Related to Age and Quantal Assessment of Physiological Responses*, Ph.D. Thesis, University
7 of Maryland, College Park.
- 8
- 9 Hoffman, D. J., J. C. Franson, O. H. Pattee, C. M. Bunck, and H. C. Murray, 1985, "Biochemical
10 and Hematological Effects of Lead Ingestion in Nesting American Kestrels (*Falco sparverinus*),"
- 11 *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.*, 80C:431-439.
- 12
- 13 Hoffman, D. J., and M. L. Gay, 1981, "Embryotoxic Effects of Benzo(a)pyrene, Chrysene, and
14 7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene in Petroleum Hydrocarbon Mixtures in Mallard Ducks,"
- 15 *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health*, 7: 775-787.
- 16
- 17 Holcombe, G. W., D. A. Benoit, E. N. Leonard, and J. M. McKim, 1976, "Long Term Effects of
18 Lead Exposure on Three Generations of Brook Trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*)," *J. Fish. Res. Bd.*
19 *Can.*, 33:1731.
- 20
- 21 Hose, J. E., J. B. Hannah, D. Dijulio, M. L. Landolt, B. S. Miller, W. T. Iwaoka, and S. P. Felton,
22 1982, "Effects of Benzo(a)pyrene on Early Development of Flatfish," *Arch. Environ. Contam.*
23 *Toxicol.*, 11:167-171.
- 24
- 25 Howard, P.H., 1989, *Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data for Organic*
26 *Chemicals, Vol 1, Large Production and Priority Pollutants*, Lewis Publishers, Chelsea,
27 Michigan, 574 p.
- 28
- 29 Huckabee, J.W., J.W. Elwood, and S.G. Hidebrand, 1979, "Accumulation of Mercury in
30 Freshwater Biota," in *The Biogeochemistry of Mercury in the Environment*, J.O. Nriagu (ed.),
31 Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press, New York, pp. 277-302.
- 32
- 33 Hunter, B.A., and M.S. Johnson, 1982, "Food Chain Relationship of Copper and Cadmium in
34 Contaminated Grassland Ecosystems," *Oikos*, Vol. 38, pp. 108-177.
- 35
- 36 International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), 1979, *Limits for Intakes of*
37 *Radionuclides by Workers*, Publication 39, Part 1, Commission on Radiological Protection,
38 Washington, DC.
- 39
- 40 IT Corporation (IT), 2002, *Draft Installation-Wide Work Plan, Fort McClellan, Calhoun*
41 *County, Alabama*, Revision 2, February.
- 42
- 43 IT Corporation (IT), 2001a, *Site-Specific Work Plan Addendum for the Supplemental*
44 *Remedial Investigation at the Former Chemical Laundry and Motor Pool Area 1500, Parcel*
45 *94(7), Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, June.
- 46

1 IT Corporation (IT), 2001b, *Final Underground Storage Tank Removal Closure Reports, Fort*
2 *McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, prepared for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile
3 District, November.

4
5 IT Corporation (IT), 2000a, *Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan Addendum, Remedial*
6 *Investigation, Former Chemical Laundry and Motor Pool Area 1500, Parcel 94(7), Fort*
7 *McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, September.

8
9 IT Corporation (IT), 2000b, *Final Installation-Wide Sampling and Analysis Plan, Fort*
10 *McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, March.

11
12 IT Corporation (IT), 2000c, *Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH*
13 *Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, July.

14
15 IT Corporation (IT), 1998a, *Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan Attachment for the*
16 *Former Chemical Laundry and Motor Pool Area 1500, Parcels 94(7), 132(7), 133(7), and*
17 *134(7), Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, October.

18
19 IT Corporation (IT), 1998b, *Final Installation-Wide Work Plan, Fort McClellan, Calhoun*
20 *County, Alabama*, August.

21
22 Jenkins, D. W., 1980, "Biological Monitoring of Toxic Trace Metals: Volume 1," *Biological*
23 *Monitoring and Surveillance*, NTIS PB81-103475.

24
25 Jones, D. S., G. W. Suter, and K. N. Hull, 1997, *Toxicological Benchmarks for Screening*
26 *Contaminants of Potential Concern for Efforts on Sediment-Associated Biota: 1997 Revision*,
27 Risk Assessment Program, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, ES/ER/TM-
28 95/R4.

29
30 Kabata-Pendias, A. and H. Pendias, 1992, *Trace Elements in Soils and Plants*, 2nd edition, CRC
31 Press, Boca Raton, FL, 365 pp.

32
33 Kendall, R., 1992, "Wildlife Toxicology," *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, Vol. 16, No. 8:448A-453A.

34
35 Khangrot, B. S., and P. K. Ray, 1989, "Investigation of Correlation Between Physiochemical
36 Properties of Metals and their Toxicity to the Water Flea *Daphnia magna* Straus," *Ecotoxicol.*
37 *Environ. Saf.*, 18(2):109-120.

38
39 Kinnamon, K. E., 1963, "Some Independent and Combined Effects of Copper, Molybdenum,
40 and Zinc on the Placental Transfer of Zinc-65 in the Rat," *J. Nutr.*, 81:312-320.

41
42 Klaassen, C. D., M. O. Amdur, and S. Doull, 1991, *Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons*,
43 Pergamon Press, Inc., Elmsford, New York.

44
45 Knobloch, K., S. Szendzikowski, and A. Slusarczyk-Zalobna, 1969, "Acute and Subacute
46 Toxicity of Acenaphthene and Acenaphthylene," *Med. Pracy.*, 20(3):210-222.

1
2 Kosalwat, P., and A. W. Knight, 1987, "Chronic Toxicity of Copper to a Partial Life Cycle of the
3 Midge *Chironomus decorus*," *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 16(3):283-290.
4
5 Kucera, E., 1983, "Mink and Otter as Indicators of Mercury in Manitoba Waters," *Canadian*
6 *Journal of Zoology*, Vol. 61, pp. 2250-2256.
7
8 Lecyk, M., 1980, "Toxicity of Cupric Sulfate in Mice Embryonic Development," *Zoo. Pollut.*,
9 28(2):101-105.
10
11 Lisk, D.J., 1972, "Trace Metals in Soil, Plants, and Animals," *Advances in Agronomy*, Vol. 24,
12 pp. 267-325.
13
14 Lowe, T.P., T.W. May, W.G. Brumbaugh, and D.A. Kane, 1985, "National Contaminant
15 Biomonitoring Program: Concentrations of Seven Elements in Freshwater Fish, 1978-1981,"
16 *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, Vol. 14, pp. 363-388.
17
18 Lyman, W.J., W.F. Reehl, and D.H. Rosenblatt, 1982, *Handbook of Chemical Property*
19 *Estimation Methods*, Environmental Behavior of Organic Compounds, McGraw Hill Book Co.,
20 New York, NY
21
22 MacDonald, D. D., 1994, *Approach to the Assessment of Sediment Quality in Florida Coastal*
23 *Waters*, Florida Department of Environmental Protection.
24
25 MacKenzie, K. M., and D. M. Angevine, 1981, "Infertility in Mice Exposed In-Utero to
26 Benzo(a)pyrene," *Biology of Reproduction*, Vol. 24, pp. 183-191.
27
28 Maier, K.J., C.G. Foe, and A.W. Knight, 1993, "Comparative Toxicity of Selenate, Selenite,
29 Seleno-DL-methionine, and Seleno-DL-cystine to *Daphnia magna*," *Environmental Toxicology*
30 *and Chemistry*, Vol. 12, pp. 755-763.
31
32 Marceau, N., N. Aspin, and A. Sass-Kortsak, 1970, "Absorption of Copper from Gastrointestinal
33 Tract of the Rat," *American Journal of Physiology*, 218:377-383.
34
35 *Merck Index*, 1983, 10th edition, Rahway, New Jersey, Merck Co., Inc.
36
37 Moore, J. W., and S. Ramamoorthy, 1984, *Heavy Metals in Natural Waters: Applied*
38 *Monitoring and Impact Assessment*, R. S. DeSanto, ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, New
39 York.
40
41 Moser, P. H., and S. S. DeJarnette, 1992, *Ground-water Availability in Calhoun County,*
42 *Alabama*, Geological Survey of Alabama Special Map 228.
43
44 Mount, R.H., 1986, *Vertebrate Animals of Alabama in Need of Special Attention*, Alabama
45 Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, 124 p.
46

1 Mudge, G. P., 1983, "The Incidence and Significance of Ingested Lead Pellet Poisoning in
2 British Waterfowl," *Biol. Conserv.*, 27:333-372.
3
4 National Academy of Sciences (NAS), 1979, *Zinc*, National Academy of Sciences, Washington,
5 D.C., 471 pp.
6
7 National Academy of Sciences (NAS), 1977, *Drinking Water and Health - Inorganic Solutes*,
8 National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., pp. 205-488.
9
10 National Academy of Sciences (NAS), 1972, *Lead: Airborne Lead in Perspective*, National
11 Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., 188.
12
13 National Climatic Data Center, 2001, Unedited Local Climatological Data, Station 13871.
14
15 National Library of Medicine (NLM), 1996, Hazardous Substance Data Bank, produced by
16 Micromedix, Inc.
17
18 National Research Council (NRC), 1977, *Drinking Water and Health, Volume 1*, Washington,
19 DC, National Academy Press.
20
21 National Research Council of Canada (NRCC), 1973, *Lead in the Canadian Environment*,
22 Publ. BY73-7(ES), 116 p.
23
24 Neff, J.M., B.W. Cornaby, R.M. Vaga, T.C. Gulbransen, J.A. Scanlon, and D.J. Bean, 1988, "An
25 Evaluation of the Screening Level Concentration Approach for Validation of Sediment Quality
26 Criteria for Freshwater and Saltwater Ecosystems," in *Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard
27 Assessment: 10th Volume*, ASTM STP 971, ed. W.J. Adams, G.A. Chapman, and W.G. Landis,
28 American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 115-127.
29
30 Neff, J. M., 1985, "Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons," *Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology*,
31 G. M. Rand and S. R. Petrocelli, eds., Hemisphere Publishing Corp., Washington, D.C.
32
33 New South Associates (NSA), 1993, *The Military Showplace of the South, Fort McClellan,
34 Alabama, A Historic Building Inventory*.
35
36 Osborne, W. E., 1999, Personal communication with John Hofer, IT Corporation.
37
38 Osborne, W. E., and M. W. Szabo, 1984, *Stratigraphy and Structure of the Jacksonville Fault,
39 Calhoun County, Alabama*, Geological Survey of Alabama Circular 117.
40
41 Osborne, W. E., G. D. Irving, and W. E. Ward, 1997, *Geologic Map of the Anniston 7.5'
42 Quadrangle, Calhoun County, Alabama*, Geological Survey of Alabama Preliminary Map, 1
43 sheet.
44
45 Osborne, W. E., M. W. Szabo, C. W. Copeland, Jr., and T. L. Neathery, 1989, *Geologic Map of
46 Alabama*, Geological Survey of Alabama Special Map 221, scale 1:500,000, 1 sheet.

1
2 Osborne, W. E., Szabo, M. W, T. L. Neathery, and C. W. Copeland, compilers, 1988, ***Geologic***
3 ***Map of Alabama, Northeast Sheet***, Geological Survey of Alabama Special Map 220, Scale
4 1:250,000.
5
6 Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton, 1993, ***Guidelines for the Protection and***
7 ***Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario***, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and
8 Energy.
9
10 Peterson, P.J. and C.A. Girling, 1981, "Other Trace Metals," in ***Effect of Heavy Metal Pollution***
11 ***on Plants, Vol. 1, Effects of Trace Metals on Plant Function***, N.W. Lepp (ed.), Applied
12 Science Publishers, New Jersey, pp. 213-278.
13
14 Planert, M. and J. L. Pritchette, Jr., 1989, ***Geohydrology and Susceptibility of Major Aquifers to***
15 ***Surface Contamination in Alabama, Area 4, U.S. Geological Survey***, Water Resources
16 Investigation Report 88-4133, prepared with the Department of Environmental Management,
17 Tuscaloosa, Alabama.
18
19 Raymond, D.E., W.E. Osborne, C.W. Copeland, and T.L. Neathery, 1988, ***Alabama***
20 ***Stratigraphy***, Geological Survey of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, 97 p.
21
22 Reeves, A. and A. Vorwald, 1967, "Beryllium Carcinogenesis, Pulmonary Deposition and
23 Clearance of Inhaled Beryllium Sulfate in the Rat," ***Cancer Research***, 27:446-451.
24
25 Reisz Engineering (Reisz), 1998, ***Integrated Natural Resource Management Plan (INRMP),***
26 ***Final Report for 1998-2002***, prepared for the Directorate of Environment, Fort McClellan,
27 Alabama, October 1.
28
29 Rhodes, F.M., S.M. Olsen, and A. Manning, 1989, "Copper Toxicity in Tomato Plants," ***Journal***
30 ***of Environmental Quality***, Vol. 18, pp. 195-197.
31
32 Romney, E.M. and J.D. Childress, 1965, "Effects of Beryllium in Plants and Soil," ***Soil Sci.***,
33 100(2): 210-217.
34
35 Roy F. Weston, Inc. (Weston), 1990, ***Final USATHAMA Task Order 11, Enhanced***
36 ***Preliminary Assessment, Fort McClellan, Anniston, Alabama***, prepared for U.S. Army Toxic
37 and Hazardous Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, December.
38
39 Sample, B.E., J.J. Beauchamp, R.A. Efrogmson, and G.W. Suter, 1998a, ***Development and***
40 ***Validation of Bioaccumulation Models for Small Mammals***, Office of Environmental
41 Management, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge,
42 Tennessee, ES/ER/TM-219.
43

- 1 Sample, B.E., J.J. Beauchamp, R.A. Efroymson, G.W. Suter, and T.L. Ashwood, 1998b,
2 ***Development and Validation of Bioaccumulation Models for Earthworms***, Office of
3 Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak
4 Ridge, Tennessee, ES/ER/TM-220.
- 5
6 Sample, B.E., D.M. Opresko, and G.W. Suter, 1996, ***Toxicological Benchmarks for Wildlife:
7 1996 Revision***, Risk Assessment Program, Office of Environmental Management, U.S.
8 Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, ES/ER/TM-86/R3.
- 9
10 Schroeder, H. A., and M. Mitchner, 1971, "Toxic Effects of Trace Elements on Reproduction of
11 Mice and Rats," ***Arch. Environ. Health***, 23:102-106.
- 12
13 Schroeder, H.A., J.J.Balassa, and W.H. Vinton, 1964, "Chromium, Lead, Cadmium, Nickel, and
14 Titanium in Mice: Effects on Mortality, Tumors, and Tissue Levels," ***Journal of Nutrition***, Vol.
15 83, pp. 239-250.
- 16
17 Schubauer-Berigan, M.K., J.R. Dierkes, P.D. Monson, and G.T. Ankley, 1993, "pH-Dependent
18 Toxicity of Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn to *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, *Pimephales promelas*, *Hyallela
19 azteca*, and *Lumbriculus variegatus*," ***Environmental Contamination and Toxicology***, Vol. 12,
20 pp. 1261-1266.
- 21
22 Science Application International Corporation (SAIC), 2000, ***Final Remedial
23 Investigation/Baseline Risk Assessment Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama***, prepared for the
24 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District, Mobile, Alabama, July.
- 25
26 Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 1998, ***Final Background Metals Survey
27 Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama***, July.
- 28
29 Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 1993, ***Site Investigation Report***,
30 prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland, August.
- 31
32 Scott, J.C., W.F. Harris, and R.H. Cobb, 1987, ***Geohydrology and Susceptibility of Coldwater
33 Spring and Jacksonville Fault Areas to Surface Contamination in Calhoun County, Alabama***,
34 U.S. Geological Water Resources Investigations Report 87-4031.
- 35
36 Shepard, T. H., 1986, ***Catalog of Teratogenic Agents***, 5th edition, Baltimore, Maryland, The
37 Johns Hopkins University Press.
- 38
39 Shugart, L. R., 1991, ***Dinitrotoluene in Deer Tissue***, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Final
40 Report, ORNL/M-1765, September.
- 41
42 Sims, R. C., and M. R. Overcash, 1983, "Fate of Polynuclear Aromatic Compounds (PNAs) in
43 Soil-Plant Systems," ***Resource Review***, Vol. 88, pp. 1-68.
- 44
45 Solonen, T., and M. Lodenius, 1984, "Mercury in Finnish Sparrowhawks, *Accipiter nisus*," ***Ornis
46 Fennica***, Vol. 61, pp. 58-63.

1
2 Smith, I. C., and B. L. Carson, 1981, *Trace Metals in the Environment. Volume 6: Cobalt and*
3 *Appraisal of Environmental Exposure*, Ann Arbor, Michigan, Ann Arbor Science Publishers,
4 Inc.

5
6 Sprague, J. B., 1968, "Avoidance Reactions of Rainbow Trout to Zinc Sulfate Solutions," *Wat.*
7 *Res.*, 2:367.

8
9 Suter, G. W., and C. L. Tsao, 1996, *Toxicological Benchmarks for Screening Potential*
10 *Contaminants of Concern for Effects on Aquatic Biota: 1996 Revision*, Risk Assessment
11 Program, U. S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, ES/ER/TM-96/R2.

12
13 Talmage, S.S., 1989, *Comparative Evaluation of Several Small Mammal Species as Monitors*
14 *of Heavy Metals, Radionuclides, and Selected Organic Compounds in the Environment*,
15 Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Tennessee, Knoxville.

16
17 Talmage, S. S., D. M. Opresko, C. J. Maxwell, C. Welsh, F. Cretella, P. H. Reno, and F. B.
18 Daniel, 1999, "Nitroaromatic Munition Compounds: Environmental Effects and Screening
19 Values," *Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 161:1-156.

20
21 Talmage, S.S., and B.T. Walton, 1993, "Food Chain Transfer and Potential Toxicity of Mercury
22 to Small Mammals at a Contaminated Terrestrial Field Site," *Ecotoxicology*, Vol. 2, pp. 243-
23 256.

24
25 Talmage, S.S., and B.T. Walton, 1991, "Small Mammals as Monitors of Environmental
26 Contaminants," *Reviews in Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, Vol. 119, pp. 47-
27 145.

28
29 Thomas, W.A., and J.A. Drahovzal, 1974, *The Coosa Deformed Belt in the Alabama*
30 *Appalachians*, Alabama Geological Society, 12th Annual Field Trip Guidebook 98 p.

31
32 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 1998, *Final Environmental Impact Statement*,
33 Disposal and Re-Use of Fort McClellan.

34
35 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 1994, *Requirements for the Preparation of Sampling*
36 *and Analysis Plans*, Engineer Manual EM 200-1-3, September.

37
38 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 1992, *Preliminary Wetland Survey, Fort McClellan*
39 *and Pelham Range, Anniston, Alabama*, Mobile, Alabama.

40
41 U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USA-EHA) 1994, *Health Risk Assessment for*
42 *Consumption of Deer Muscle and Liver from Joliet Army Ammunition Plant*, Toxicology
43 Division.

44
45 U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), 1961, *Soil Survey, Calhoun County, Alabama*, Soil
46 Conservation Service, Series 1958, No. 9, September.

1
2 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002, ***Integrated Risk Information System***
3 ***(IRIS)***, on-line.
4
5 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2000, ***Drinking Water Standards and Health***
6 ***Advisories***, Office of Water, Washington, DC, October.
7
8 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1999a, ***National Recommended Water Quality***
9 ***Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants***, Office of Water, Washington, DC. EPA/822-Z-99-001.
10
11 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1999b, ***Screening Level Ecological Risk***
12 ***Assessment Protocol for Hazardous Waste Combustion Facilities***, Office of Solid Waste and
13 Emergency Response, Washington, DC, EPA530-D-99-001.
14
15 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1996, ***Soil Screening Guidance: Technical***
16 ***Background Document***, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, EPA/540/R-95/128,
17 NTIS No. PB96-963502.
18
19 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1995a, ***Supplemental Guidance to RAGS:***
20 ***Region 4 Bulletins, Human Health Risk Assessment***, Region 4, Atlanta, Georgia.
21
22 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1995b, ***Final Water Quality Guidance for the***
23 ***Great Lakes System***, Office of Water, Washington, DC.
24
25 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1993, ***Wildlife Exposure Factors Handbook***,
26 Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, EPA/600-R-93/187.
27
28 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1990, 40 CFR Part 300, "National Oil and
29 Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; Final Rule," ***Federal Register*** 55 (46): 8666-
30 8865.
31
32 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1989, ***Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund***
33 ***Volume I Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A)***, Interim Final, Office of Emergency and
34 Remedial Response, Washington, DC, EPA/540/1-89/002, December.
35
36 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1985a, ***Environmental Profiles and Hazard***
37 ***Indices for Constituents of Municipal Sludge: Beryllium***, Office of Water Regulations and
38 Standards, Washington, DC.
39
40 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1985b, ***Drinking Water Criteria Document on***
41 ***Copper***, Office of Drinking Water, Washington, DC.
42
43 U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1984, ***Health Effects Assessment for Zinc (and***
44 ***Compounds)***, Cincinnati, Ohio.
45

- 1 U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1980, *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Zinc*,
2 Office of Water Regulations and Standards, Washington, D.C., EPA/440/5-80-079.
3
- 4 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), 1982, *Gray Bat Recovery Plan*, Approved by
5 Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on July 8, 1982, 21 p.
6
- 7 Vanderploeg, H.A., D.C. Parzyck, W.H. Wilcox, J.R. Kercher, and S.V. Kaye, 1975,
8 *Bioaccumulation Factors for Radionuclides in Freshwater Biota*, ORNL-5002, Oak Ridge
9 National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- 10
- 11 Venugopal, B. and T. D. Luckey, 1978, *Metal Toxicity in Mammals, Volume 2*, New York,
12 New York, Plenum Press.
- 13
- 14 Wallace, A., G.V. Alexander, and F.M. Chaudhry, 1977, "Phytotoxicity and Some Interactions of
15 the Essential Trace Metals Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Zinc, Copper, and Boron," *Commun.*
16 *Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 8(9): 741-750.
- 17
- 18 Wallihan, E.F., 1966, "Iron," in *Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soils*, H.D. Chapman (ed.),
19 University of California, Div. Agric. Sci., Riverside, CA, pp. 203-212.
- 20
- 21 Warman, J. C, and L.V. Causey, 1962, *Geology and Ground-water Resources of Calhoun*
22 *County, Alabama*, Geological Survey of Alabama County Report 7, 77 p.
- 23
- 24 Wren, C.D., 1986, "A Review of Metal Accumulation and Toxicity in Wild Mammals: I.
25 Mercury," *Environmental Research*, Vol. 40, pp. 210-244.
- 26
- 27 Zander, M., 1983, *Physical and Chemical Properties of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons.*
28 *Handbook of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons*, A. Bjorseth, ed., Marcel Dekker, Inc., New
29 York, New York, pp. 1-25, Washington, DC.

ATTACHMENT 1
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

2,4-D	2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid	BCT	BRAC Cleanup Team	Cl	chlorinated
2,4,5-T	2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid	BERA	baseline ecological risk assessment	CLP	Contract Laboratory Program
2,4,5-TP	silvex	BEHP	bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	cm	centimeter
3D	3D International Environmental Group	BFB	bromofluorobenzene	CN	chloroacetophenone
AB	ambient blank	BFE	base flood elevation	CNB	chloroacetophenone, benzene, and carbon tetrachloride
AbB3	Anniston gravelly clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, severely eroded	BG	Bacillus globigii	CNS	chloroacetophenone, chloropicrin, and chloroform
AbC3	Anniston gravelly clay loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, severely eroded	bgs	below ground surface	CO	carbon monoxide
AbD3	Anniston and Allen gravelly clay loams, 10 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	BHC	betahexachlorocyclohexane	Co-60	cobalt-60
Abs	skin absorption	BHHRA	baseline human health risk assessment	CoA	Code of Alabama
ABS	dermal absorption factor	BIRTC	Branch Immaterial Replacement Training Center	COC	chain of custody; contaminant of concern
AC	hydrogen cyanide	bkg	background	COE	Corps of Engineers
ACAD	AutoCadd	bls	below land surface	Con	skin or eye contact
AcB2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	BOD	biological oxygen demand	COPC	chemical(s) of potential concern
AcC2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	Bp	soil-to-plant biotransfer factors	COPEC	chemical(s) of potential ecological concern
AcD2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 10 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	BRAC	Base Realignment and Closure	CPSS	chemicals present in site samples
AcE2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	Braun	Braun Intertec Corporation	CQCSM	Contract Quality Control System Manager
ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists	BSAF	biota-to-sediment accumulation factors	CRDL	contract-required detection limit
AdE	Anniston and Allen stony loam, 10 to 25 percent slope	BSC	background screening criterion	CRL	certified reporting limit
ADEM	Alabama Department of Environmental Management	BTAG	Biological Technical Assistance Group	CRQL	contract-required quantitation limit
ADPH	Alabama Department of Public Health	BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes	CRZ	contamination reduction zone
AEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center	BTOC	below top of casing	Cs-137	cesium-137
AEL	airborne exposure limit	BTV	background threshold value	CS	ortho-chlorobenzylidene-malononitrile
AET	adverse effect threshold	BW	biological warfare; body weight	CSEM	conceptual site exposure model
AF	soil-to-skin adherence factor	BZ	breathing zone; 3-quinuclidinyl benzilate	CSM	conceptual site model
AHA	ammunition holding area	C	ceiling limit value	CT	central tendency
AL	Alabama	Ca	carcinogen	ctr.	container
ALAD	- aminolevulinic acid dehydratase	CAB	chemical warfare agent breakdown products	CWA	chemical warfare agent
amb.	Amber	CAMU	corrective action management unit	CWM	chemical warfare material; clear, wide mouth
amsl	above mean sea level	CBR	chemical, biological and radiological	CX	dichloroformoxime
ANAD	Anniston Army Depot	CCAL	continuing calibration	'D'	duplicate; dilution
AOC	area of concern	CCB	continuing calibration blank	D&I	detection and identification
APEC	areas of potential ecological concern	CCV	continuing calibration verification	DAF	dilution-attenuation factor
APT	armor-piercing tracer	CD	compact disc	DANC	decontamination agent, non-corrosive
AR	analysis request	CDTF	Chemical Defense Training Facility	°C	degrees Celsius
ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement	CEHNC	U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville	°F	degrees Fahrenheit
AREE	area requiring environmental evaluation	CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act	DCE	dichloroethene
ASP	Ammunition Supply Point	CERFA	Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act	DDD	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
ASR	Archives Search Report	CESAS	Corps of Engineers South Atlantic Savannah	DDE	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
AST	aboveground storage tank	CF	conversion factor	DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials	CFC	chlorofluorocarbon	DEH	Directorate of Engineering and Housing
AT	averaging time	CFDP	Center for Domestic Preparedness	DEP	depositional soil
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	DFTPP	decafluorotriphenylphosphine
ATV	all-terrain vehicle	CG	carbonyl chloride (phosgene)	DI	deionized
AWARE	Associated Water and Air Resources Engineers, Inc.	CGI	combustible gas indicator	DID	data item description
AWWSB	Anniston Water Works and Sewer Board	ch	inorganic clays of high plasticity	DIMP	di-isopropylmethylphosphonate
'B'	Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit (and greater than zero)	CHPPM	U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine	DM	dry matter
BCF	blank correction factor; bioconcentration factor	CK	cyanogen chloride	DMBA	dimethylbenz(a)anthracene
		cl	inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity	DMMP	dimethylmethylphosphonate

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

DOD	U.S. Department of Defense	FD	field duplicate	GW	groundwater
DOJ	U.S. Department of Justice	FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration	gw	well-graded gravels; gravel-sand mixtures
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation	FedEx	Federal Express, Inc.	HA	hand auger
DP	direct-push	FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	HCl	hydrochloric acid
DPDO	Defense Property Disposal Office	FFCA	Federal Facilities Compliance Act	HD	distilled mustard
DPT	direct-push technology	FFE	field flame expedient	HDPE	high-density polyethylene
DQO	data quality objective	FFS	focused feasibility study	HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
DRMO	Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office	FI	fraction of exposure	Herb.	herbicides
DRO	diesel range organics	Fil	filtered	HHRA	human health risk assessment
DS	deep (subsurface) soil	Flt	filtered	HI	hazard index
DS2	Decontamination Solution Number 2	FMDC	Fort McClellan Development Commission	HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
DWEL	drinking water equivalent level	FML	flexible membrane liner	HNO ₃	nitric acid
E&E	Ecology and Environment, Inc.	FMP 1300	Former Motor Pool 1300	HQ	hazard quotient
EB	equipment blank	FOMRA	Former Ordnance Motor Repair Area	HQ _{screen}	screening-level hazard quotient
EBS	environmental baseline survey	Foster Wheeler	Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation	hr	hour
EC ₅₀	effects concentration for 50 percent of a population	Frtn	fraction	H&S	health and safety
ECBC	Edgewood Chemical/Biological Command	FS	field split; feasibility study	HSA	hollow-stem auger
ED	exposure duration	FSP	field sampling plan	HTRW	hazardous, toxic, and radioactive waste
EDD	electronic data deliverable	ft	feet	'I'	out of control, data rejected due to low recovery
EF	exposure frequency	ft/ft	feet per foot	IATA	International Air Transport Authority
EDQL	ecological data quality level	FTA	Fire Training Area	ICAL	initial calibration
EE/CA	engineering evaluation and cost analysis	FTMC	Fort McClellan	ICB	initial calibration blank
Elev.	elevation	FTRRA	FTMC Reuse & Redevelopment Authority	ICP	inductively-coupled plasma
EM	electromagnetic	g	gram	ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection
EMI	Environmental Management Inc.	g/m ³	gram per cubic meter	ICS	interference check sample
EM31	Geonics Limited EM31 Terrain Conductivity Meter	G-856	Geometrics, Inc. G-856 magnetometer	ID	inside diameter
EM61	Geonics Limited EM61 High-Resolution Metal Detector	G-858G	Geometrics, Inc. G-858G magnetic gradiometer	IDL	instrument detection limit
EOD	explosive ordnance disposal	GAF	gastrointestinal absorption factor	IDLH	immediately dangerous to life or health
EODT	explosive ordnance disposal team	gal	gallon	IDM	investigative-derived media
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	gal/min	gallons per minute	IDW	investigation-derived waste
EPC	exposure point concentration	GB	sarin	IEUBK	Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic
EPIC	Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center	gc	clay gravels; gravel-sand-clay mixtures	IF	ingestion factor; inhalation factor
EPRI	Electrical Power Research Institute	GC	gas chromatograph	ILCR	incremental lifetime cancer risk
ER	equipment rinsate	GCL	geosynthetic clay liner	IMPA	isopropylmethyl phosphonic acid
ERA	ecological risk assessment	GC/MS	gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer	IMR	Iron Mountain Road
ER-L	effects range-low	GCR	geosynthetic clay liner	in.	inch
ER-M	effects range-medium	GFAA	graphite furnace atomic absorption	Ing	ingestion
ESE	Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc.	GIS	Geographic Information System	Inh	inhalation
ESMP	Endangered Species Management Plan	gm	silty gravels; gravel-sand-silt mixtures	IP	ionization potential
ESN	Environmental Services Network, Inc.	gp	poorly graded gravels; gravel-sand mixtures	IPS	International Pipe Standard
ESV	ecological screening value	gpm	gallons per minute	IR	ingestion rate
ET	exposure time	GPR	ground-penetrating radar	IRDMIS	Installation Restoration Data Management Information System
EU	exposure unit	GPS	global positioning system	IRIS	Integrated Risk Information Service
Exp.	explosives	GS	ground scar	IRP	Installation Restoration Program
E-W	east to west	GSA	General Services Administration; Geologic Survey of Alabama	IS	internal standard
EZ	exclusion zone	GSBP	Ground Scar Boiler Plant	ISCP	Installation Spill Contingency Plan
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulations	GSSI	Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc.	IT	IT Corporation
FB	field blank	GST	ground stain	ITEMS	IT Environmental Management System™

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

'J'	estimated concentration	MMBtu/hr	million Btu per hour	NRCC	National Research Council of Canada
JeB2	Jefferson gravelly fine sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	MOGAS	motor vehicle gasoline	NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
JeC2	Jefferson gravelly fine sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	MP	Military Police	ns	nanosecond
JfB	Jefferson stony fine sandy loam, 0 to 10 percent slopes have strong slopes	MPA	methyl phosphonic acid	N-S	north to south
JPA	Joint Powers Authority	MPM	most probable munition	NS	not surveyed
K	conductivity	MQL	method quantitation limit	NSA	New South Associates, Inc.
K _{ow}	octonal-water partition coefficient	MR	molasses residue	nT	nanotesla
L	lewisite; liter	MRL	method reporting limit	nT/m	nanoteslas per meter
l	liter	MS	matrix spike	NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
LBP	lead-based paint	mS/cm	millisiemens per centimeter	nv	not validated
LC	liquid chromatography	mS/m	millisiemens per meter	O ₂	oxygen
LCS	laboratory control sample	MSD	matrix spike duplicate	O&G	oil and grease
LC ₅₀	lethal concentration for 50 percent population tested	MTBE	methyl tertiary butyl ether	O&M	operation and maintenance
LD ₅₀	lethal dose for 50 percent population tested	msl	mean sea level	OB/OD	open burning/open detonation
LEL	lower explosive limit	MtD3	Montevallo shaly, silty clay loam, 10 to 40 percent slopes , severely eroded	OD	outside diameter
LOAEL	lowest-observed-advserse-effects-level	mV	millivolts	OE	ordnance and explosives
LT	less than the certified reporting limit	MW	monitoring well	oh	organic clays of medium to high plasticity
LUC	land-use control	MWI&P	Monitoring Well Installation and Management Plan	ol	organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity
LUCAP	land-use control assurance plan	Na	sodium	OP	organophosphorus
LUCIP	land-use control implementation plan	NA	not applicable; not available	ORP	oxidation-reduction potential
max	maximum	NAD	North American Datum	OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
MB	method blank	NAD83	North American Datum of 1983	OSWER	Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
MCL	maximum contaminant level	NAVD88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988	OVM-PID/FID	organic vapor meter-photoionization detector/flame ionization detector
MCLG	maximum contaminant level goal	NAS	National Academy of Sciences	OVS	oil/water separator
MCPA	4-chloro-2-methylphenoxyacetic acid	NCEA	National Center for Environmental Assessment	oz	ounce
MCS	media cleanup standard	NCP	National Contingency Plan	PA	preliminary assessment
MD	matrix duplicate	NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements	PAH	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon
MDC	maximum detected concentration	ND	not detected	PARCCS	precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, completeness, and sensitivity
MDCC	maximum detected constituent concentration	NE	no evidence; northeast	Parsons	Parsons Engineering Science, Inc.
MDL	method detection limit	ne	not evaluated	Pb	lead
mg	milligrams	NEW	net explosive weight	PBMS	performance-based measurement system
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram	NFA	No Further Action	PC	permeability coefficient
mg/kg/day	milligram per kilogram per day	NG	National Guard	PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
mg/kgbw/day	milligrams per kilogram of body weight per day	NGP	National Guardsperson	PCDD	polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins
mg/L	milligrams per liter	ng/L	nanograms per liter	PCDF	polychlorinated dibenzofurans
mg/m ³	milligrams per cubic meter	NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum	PCE	perchloroethene
mh	inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine, sandy or silt soils	Ni	nickel	PCP	pentachlorophenol
MHz	megahertz	NIC	notice of intended change	PDS	Personnel Decontamination Station
µg/g	micrograms per gram	NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health	PEF	particulate emission factor
µg/kg	micrograms per kilogram	NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology	PEL	permissible exposure limit
µg/L	micrograms per liter	NLM	National Library of Medicine	PES	potential explosive site
µmhos/cm	micromhos per centimeter	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	Pest.	pesticides
min	minimum	NPW	net present worth	PETN	pentarey thritol tetranitrate
MINICAMS	miniature continuous air monitoring system	No.	number	PFT	portable flamethrower
ml	inorganic silts and very fine sands	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	PG	professional geologist
mL	milliliter	NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effects-level	PID	photoionization detector
mm	millimeter	NR	not requested; not recorded; no risk	PkA	Phlo and Stendal soils local alluvium, 0 to 2 percent slopes
MM	mounded material	NRC	National Research Council		

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

PM	project manager	RTECS	Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances	STEL	short-term exposure limit
POC	point of contact	RTK	real-time kinematic	STL	Severn-Trent Laboratories
POL	petroleum, oils, and lubricants	SA	exposed skin surface area	STOLS	Surface Towed Ordnance Locator System®
POW	prisoner of war	SAD	South Atlantic Division	Std. units	standard units
PP	peristaltic pump; Proposed Plan	SAE	Society of Automotive Engineers	SU	standard unit
ppb	parts per billion	SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation	SUXOS	senior UXO supervisor
PPE	personal protective equipment	SAP	installation-wide sampling and analysis plan	SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
ppm	parts per million	sc	clayey sands; sand-clay mixtures	SW	surface water
PPMP	Print Plant Motor Pool	Sch.	Schedule	SW-846	U.S. EPA's <i>Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste: Physical/Chemical Methods</i>
ppt	parts per thousand	SCM	site conceptual model	SWMU	solid waste management unit
PR	potential risk	SD	sediment	SWPP	storm water pollution prevention plan
PRA	preliminary risk assessment	SDG	sample delivery group	SZ	support zone
PRG	preliminary remediation goal	SDZ	safe distance zone; surface danger zone	TAL	target analyte list
PSSC	potential site-specific chemical	SEMS	Southern Environmental Management & Specialties, Inc.	TAT	turn around time
pt	peat or other highly organic silts	SF	cancer slope factor	TB	trip blank
PVC	polyvinyl chloride	SFSP	site-specific field sampling plan	TBC	to be considered
QA	quality assurance	SGF	standard grade fuels	TCA	trichloroethane
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control	SHP	installation-wide safety and health plan	TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
QAM	quality assurance manual	SI	site investigation	TCDF	tetrachlorodibenzofurans
QAO	quality assurance officer	SINA	Special Interest Natural Area	TCE	trichloroethene
QAP	installation-wide quality assurance plan	SL	standing liquid	TCL	target compound list
QC	quality control	SLERA	screening-level ecological risk assessment	TCLP	toxicity characteristic leaching procedure
QST	QST Environmental, Inc.	sm	silty sands; sand-silt mixtures	TDEC	Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation
qty	quantity	SM	Serratia marcescens	TDGCL	thiodiglycol
Qual	qualifier	SMDP	Scientific Management Decision Point	TDGCLA	thiodiglycol chloroacetic acid
'R'	rejected data; resample	s/n	signal-to-noise ratio	TERC	Total Environmental Restoration Contract
R&A	relevant and appropriate	SOP	standard operating procedure	THI	target hazard index
RA	remedial action	SOPQAM	U.S. EPA's <i>Standard Operating Procedure/Quality Assurance Manual</i>	TIC	tentatively identified compound
RAO	removal action objective	sp	poorly graded sands; gravelly sands	TLV	threshold limit value
RBC	risk-based concentration	SP	submersible pump	TN	Tennessee
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	SPCC	system performance calibration compound	TNT	trinitrotoluene
RD	remedial design	SPCS	State Plane Coordinate System	TOC	top of casing; total organic carbon
RDX	cyclonite	SPM	sample planning module	TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbons
ReB3	Rarden silty clay loams	SQRT	screening quick reference tables	TR	target cancer risk
REG	regular field sample	Sr-90	strontium-90	TRADOC	U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
REL	recommended exposure limit	SRA	streamlined human health risk assessment	TRPH	total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons
RFA	request for analysis	SRM	standard reference material	TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
RfC	reference concentration	Ss	stony rough land, sandstone series	TSDF	treatment, storage, and disposal facility
RfD	reference dose	SS	surface soil	TWA	time-weighted average
RGO	remedial goal option	SSC	site-specific chemical	UCL	upper confidence limit
RI	remedial investigation	SSHO	site safety and health officer	UCR	upper certified range
RL	reporting limit	SSHP	site-specific safety and health plan	'U'	not detected above reporting limit
RME	reasonable maximum exposure	SSL	soil screening level	UF	uncertainty factor
ROD	Record of Decision	SSSL	site-specific screening level	USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
RPD	relative percent difference	SSSSL	site-specific soil screening level	USACHPPM	U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine
RRF	relative response factor	STB	supertropical bleach	USAEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center
RSD	relative standard deviation	STC	source-term concentration	USAEHA	U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency
RTC	Recruiting Training Center	STD	standard deviation	USACMLS	U.S. Army Chemical School

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

USAMPS	U.S. Army Military Police School
USATCES	U.S. Army Technical Center for Explosive Safety
USATEU	U.S. Army Technical Escort Unit
USATHAMA	U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Material Agency
USC	United States Code
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	underground storage tank
UTL	upper tolerance level; upper tolerance limit
UXO	unexploded ordnance
UXOQCS	UXO Quality Control Supervisor
UXOSO	UXO safety officer
V	vanadium
VOA	volatile organic analyte
VOC	volatile organic compound
VOH	volatile organic hydrocarbon
VQlfr	validation qualifier
VQual	validation qualifier
VX	nerve agent (O-ethyl-S-[diisopropylaminoethyl]-methylphosphonothiolate)
WAC	Women's Army Corps
Weston	Roy F. Weston, Inc.
WP	installation-wide work plan
WRS	Wilcoxon rank sum
WS	watershed
WSA	Watershed Screening Assessment
WWI	World War I
WWII	World War II
XRF	x-ray fluorescence
yd ³	cubic yards

S – Non-target compound analyzed for and detected (GC/MS methods)
T – Non-target compound analyzed for but not detected (non GC/MS methods)
U – Analysis in unconfirmed
Z – Non-target compound analyzed for and detected (non-GC/MS methods)

Qualifiers

J – The low-spike recovery is low
N – The high-spike recovery is low
R – Data is rejected

SAIC – Data Qualifiers, Codes and Footnotes, 1995 Remedial Investigation

N/A – Not analyzed

ND – Not detected

Boolean Codes

LT – Less than the certified reporting limit

Flagging Codes

9 – Non-demonstrated/validated method performed for USAEC

B – Analyte found in the method blank or QC blank

C – Analysis was confirmed

D – Duplicate analysis

I – Interfaces in sample make quantitation and/or identification to be suspicious

J – Value is estimated

K – Reported results are affected by interfaces or high background

N – Tentatively identified compound (match greater than 70%)

Q – Sample interference obscured peak of interest

R – Non-target compound analyzed for but not detected (GC/MS methods)