

FT. McCLELLAN BCT MEETING MINUTES
 PARTNERING SESSION #53
 FT. McCLELLAN, AL
 APRIL 22-23, 2003

AGENDA ITEM	RESPONSIBILITY	NOTES
Check In Guest Introduction and Roles	Host: Ron Levy Leader: Philip Stroud Recorder: Jeanne Yacoub	See Attendees List – Attachment A.
Ground Rules	BCT	Attachment B provides the ground rules, as revised in January, 2001.
Agenda	BCT	Attachment C provides the draft June agenda. Attachment D provides the April meeting summary.
Accept Previous Minutes	BCT	The team reviewed the draft February minutes, and accepted the minutes as final.
Action Items	BCT	Action items were reviewed and updated, as indicated in Attachment D.
Long-Term Planning (BCP)	BCT	IT provided a final BCP on December 21, 2001.
Goals/Metrics Update	BCT	The team began brainstorming this topic during the June 1998 meeting, and also began development of preliminary goals for consideration by the group. This topic requires the BCT to set aside schedule time to address.
Facilitator Observations	No facilitator this meeting	David Sanderson has been let go, and the BCT and project team discussed arrangements for another facilitator. Details are provided in the meeting summary.

ATTACHMENT A

LIST OF ATTENDEES
BCT SESSION #53
Ft. McCLELLAN, AL
APRIL 22-23, 2003

Attendees:

Ron Levy, Ft. McClellan (FTMC)
Lisa Holstein, FTMC
Lee Coker, US Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District (USACE, Mobile District)
Philip Stroud, Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM)
Doyle Brittain, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV (EPA)
Miki Schneider, Joint Powers Authority (JPA)
Francis Coulters, National Guard Bureau (NGB)
Bernie Case, Alabama Army National Guard (AL-ARNG)
Jeanne Yacoub, Shaw Environmental, Inc. (Shaw)
Steve Moran, Shaw
Art Holcomb, Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation (FWENC)

Guests:

Joe Doyle, FTMC
Claude Leake, USACE, Mobile District
Chip Parrott, USACE Mobile District
Olivia Henry, HQ USACE
Dennis Calbreath, USACE, South Atlantic Division
Suzanne Murdock, US Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville (CEHNC)
David Lovoy, ADEM
Brandi Little, ADEM (by phone)
Mark Harrison, ADEM
Todd Biggs, FWENC
Ben Bentkowski, Gannett Fleming
Bill Garland, US Fish and Wildlife Service
Steve Miller, US Fish and Wildlife Service
Michelle Beekman, Matrix Environmental Services, LLC
Josh Jenkins, Shaw
Greg Sisco, Shaw

ATTACHMENT B

BCT GROUND RULES

General:

1. Leave rank and title at the door, and have a free and open discussion on any subject affecting the BCT.
2. Work smarter, not harder: create ways to simplify and streamline the BCT process.
3. Identify and express individual team members' sensitive issues, and agree to keep them within the team.
4. Alert other team members of any changes in cost or schedules.
5. Rotate meeting leaders.
6. Have fun.

Meeting Behavior:

1. Come prepared; do your homework.
2. Participate fully: offer your perspective and advice for the benefit of the whole team.
3. Listen to others' views and opinions, try to understand their needs, respect them, and work to resolve differences, and support team decisions.
4. Draw out other members: be open to other ideas and different perspectives.
5. Avoid interruptions and side conversations.
6. Call time out when necessary.
7. Make decisions by consensus: all in agreement, all owning the decision.
8. Turn off cell phones.

ATTACHMENT C

BCT MEETING AGENDA

1. Check In
2. Guest Introduction/Role in Meeting
3. Review Ground Rules (Attachment B to these minutes)
4. Finalize Agenda with additions and/or subtractions (Item 9 of this Attachment)
5. Accept Previous Meeting Minutes
6. Review Action Items from Previous Minutes (Attachment D to these minutes)
7. Review Long-Term Planning (BCP)
8. Goals/Metrics Update
9. Accomplish Agenda Items (Item 9 of this Attachment)
10. Meeting Summary Review
 - Set next meeting date
 - Set next meeting agenda
 - Set time and date for conference call
 - Set meeting dates for next six months
 - Review action and consensus items
 - Review and evaluate Partnering Process

ITEM #9
DRAFT JUNE AGENDA

Wednesday, June 11, 2003

1000 – 1100	Check-in/Finalize Minutes/Agenda/Action Items	BCT
1100 – 1115	Document Status Tracking	Lisa
1115 – 1130	National Wildlife Refuge Update	Ron
1130 – 1300	Lunch	
1300 – 1330	Data Presentation for T-5	Josh
1330 – 1400	Data Presentation for T-6	Josh
1400 – 1430	Data Presentation for Cane Creek Training Area	Josh
1430 – 1530	Comment Responses for Parcel 188	Josh
1530 – 1630	30-60-90% Updates	Josh

Breaks as Needed

Thursday, June 12, 2003

0900 – 0930	JPA Update	Miki
0930 – 1030	UXO Update	FWENC
1030 – 1130	TBD	
1130 – 1300	Lunch	
1300 – 1530	TBD	
1530 – 1630	Parking Lot and Meeting Reflections	BCT

Breaks as Needed

Parking Lot

ATTACHMENT D

MEETING SUMMARY With ACTION ITEMS

Next BCT Meeting: June 11 – 13, 2003
Ft. McClellan, Anniston, AL

Primary Agenda: See Item #9

April Meeting Summary:

Check-In – Team members introduced themselves and told the group why they were at the meeting and what they wanted to achieve.

Finalize Agenda and Minutes – The team reviewed the February minutes and accepted them as final. The team added the following items to the April agenda parking lot:

- WebEx Training
- Facilitators
- Reilly Lake
- Waste Chemical Storage Facility LUCIP

Action Items – The BCT reviewed action items; the updates are presented in Attachment D at the end of this text. During the update of action item 03/2/2, Philip indicated that Steve Cobb discussed slip pages with the BCT and Philip felt the discussion had covered all the salient points. Ron asked Philip if Steve understood that as a rule, the Army would provide slip pages when it was practical and not as a common practice necessarily. Philip stated his understanding that Steve knew that.

Document Status Tracking – Lisa provided the team with the latest version of the document status tracking spreadsheet and reviewed the list of priorities with them. Ron indicated that the Army is at a standstill with respect to the landfill EE/CA. If the JPA acquires the landfills, then the work goes to the JPA, and the Army will not complete the EE/CA. The decision to move forward is pending the outcome of the ESCA (Environmental Services Cooperative Agreement).

Facilitator – A list of facilitators has been developed in conjunction between the Army and regulatory participants. Shaw will work with the list to contract with a facilitator, with the objective of having the new facilitator available for the June BCT meeting.

National Wildlife Refuge – Joe Doyle told the project team that June 1 is the mandatory date for transfer of the property to the USFWS (US Fish and Wildlife Service). One of the key document supporting the transfer is the ECOP (Environmental Condition of Property). The Army has ADEM and EPA comments, and has reviewed them, but to make the June 1 deadline, the Army will treat the comments as unresolved comments. The Army will do the same to the comments on the LUCIP, since the Army has not proposed any substantive change to the interim LUCIPs. The letter of transfer will incorporate the ECOP by reference with a lengthy MOA (Memorandum of Understanding). The MOA is under

development by the Army and USFWS, and addresses 2 large issues. The first issue concerns the final LUCs to be implemented. The Army wants responsibility to rest with the recipient; the USFWS wants the Army to maintain responsibility. The second issue pertains to any subsequent cleanup on the property. The USFWS wants concurrence authority on any clean up actions; the Army wants to maintain decision authority, since the Army is paying for the clean up.

Range L – Lima Pond, Parcel 204(7). The analytical data for this site indicates metals in sediments above ecological risk screening values in the area of the hole. ADEM would like to fill in the hole, but doesn't want to destroy the habitat in the area. The National Guard plans to remove the fence and fill in the hole to eliminate the safety hazard the hole presents to training troops. When they fill in the hole, the ecological risk will be eliminated. ADEM and EPA suggested removing the fence, grading to remove the hole, and taking confirmatory samples to verify no contamination, then close the site. Shaw will prepare this recommendation in the SI report to close the site for the Army. The Guard will be responsible for implementing the recommendations.

Old Water Hole – Shaw presented data for this site, and did not offer a recommendation, but asked the BCT what they thought was the best path forward. After discussion of the site data, the BCT decided that the arsenic and chromium values are isolated natural occurrences, and should be written up in the supplemental RI report as localized, low-concentration detections. The BCT also agreed that the site should be recommended for NFA.

Water Supply Wells at Pelham Range – The BCT first saw this site in October 2000 when four water supply wells were sampled as part of the MOA between the Army and the National Guard. After review of the data and report, the BCT agreed in May 2001 that NFA was appropriate for the wells. After finalization of the report, in January 2002, ADEM issued more comments and requested another round of sampling using low-flow techniques. The resampling showed all results below background levels. The BCT concurred once again to NFA for this site, and Shaw will submit another final report.

Range K – Shaw presented the data on Range K for Fran Coulters, who is the new NGB member on the project team. Ron indicated the Army's limitations at Range K. Fran will review the data and determine the path forward for the site. Steve pointed out that the MOA says the Army will complete the RI. Ron and Fran agreed to install the 4 additional wells.

Sampling at Medders' Well – The BCT determined that data from the Medders' well is not critical at this time; therefore, Shaw will not collect a sample from that well.

Former Toxic Gas Area and Former Decontamination Training Area South of the Toxic Gas Area – The BCT reviewed these sites at the February meeting. Ron asked Shaw to recap the discussion for Fran Coulters. Josh reviewed the sites and the data.

Training Area T-31 and Chemical Warfare Material Site, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) – Parcel 185 overlays the Former 37mm Antitank Range, Parcel 230Q-X where the Army plans an RI. Shaw presented SI groundwater data in April 2002 that indicated three VOCs at concentrations exceeding SSSLs. The BCT requested another round of groundwater sampling; results for the five detected VOCs are below SSSLs. The BCT agreed to NFA for parcel 184, and to acknowledge that parcel 185 overlays parcel 230Q-X in that site's RI. The BCT also wants the wells on parcel 184 to be included in the RI

effort for parcel 230Q-X.

Well/Spring User Survey – Josh presented the data on the well/spring user survey. The Army sent mailers in January and conducted follow-up door-to-door visits in March. Approximately 80% of the property owners responded, most within the City of Weaver. The survey showed that 111 property owners representing 140 properties have wells or springs on their properties. 96 owners have wells. 19 of those use their wells for drinking water; 5 more have wells they occasionally use for drinking purposes, since they also have access to the municipal supply. 16 owners use wells for purposes other than drinking, and 56 owners have wells they don't use. Of 18 spring owners, 1 uses the spring for drinking water. 4 use their springs for purposes other than drinking water, and 13 owners don't use their springs (3 are wet weather springs).

30-60-90% Updates – Josh provided updates on the RIs at T-6, T-5, Cane Creek Training Area, T-38, T-24A, and Landfill #3. Shaw will present data for T-5 and T-6 at the next BCT meeting. Fieldwork is done; some preliminary data is in, but not all. Preliminary data is showing 1,1,2,2-TCA and TCE in subsurface soils at T-5. At T-6, data is also indicating VOCs in subsurface soils and surface soils. Some VOCs are also showing up in groundwater. At the Cane Creek Training Area, chlorinated VOCs are present in surface water samples as well as other media. Shaw will likely recommend including the Cane Creek Training Area in the ongoing RI for Naylor Field. At T-38, T-24A, and Landfill #3, fieldwork is still underway.

UXO Update – Todd briefed the team on the Alpha EE/CA. The Bravo EE/CA is undergoing internal review, and will be submitted the week of May 5 for review.

JPA Update – The JPA is pushing hard with Matrix for early transfer of the property. They are meeting with SMI (the Army's contractor) to do a cost study and develop a list of assumptions for the cost study.

Web Ex Training – Doyle reviewed the current registrations and who will be attending the training at the two designated locations (Atlanta and Montgomery). The training will take place on May 8th from 8:30am to 10:30am.

Choccolocco Corridor Ranges RI Work Plan – Ron asked Lee to make sure the right-of-entry is in place to allow access to the site. The Army will ask the State to restrict public access to this area until the Army completes work in the area because of the lead issues. Philip asked if the Army intends to remove scrap debris, ie., the helicopter/plane shell, old tower, helicopter mock-up, etc. Ron indicated there are ongoing discussions on what constitutes debris to define what the Army will remove. If the Army used an object as a target or device and it is a source of potential contamination, the Army will remove it. DOI and the Army are evaluating the Debris Report and will determine what the Army removes. The BCT concurred with the RI approach Shaw proposed, including the XRF survey, soil borings, surface soil, groundwater, and surface water/sediment sampling program.

Waste Chemical Storage Area – The National Guard has a LUCIP in hand that says if the slab is removed, the arsenic must be removed. Philip and Doyle want to see this site to verify condition of the slab. The Guard indicated they have plans to build an armory on the site; at the time of construction, the Guard would have to address the arsenic in soil under the slab.

Future Meetings (3-month look ahead) – RAB meeting on May 19, Project Team meeting on June 11-13 @ Ft. McClellan, RAB meeting on June 16, Project Team meeting @ Ft. McClellan on July 22-24, August 26-28 @ Ft. McClellan.

Status of Action Items

Action Item No.	Responsible Team Member	Due Date	Status	Action Item
03/1/2	Philip	Feb 03	Done	Submit clarified ADEM concurrence letter on the Agent ID Area.
03/1/6	Philip	Feb 03	Done	Discuss need for additional well at Range K with Ben.
03/1/7	Philip	Feb 03	SNR	Review Soldiers' Chapel Removal Report in light of written comments on the SI report for discussion during February BCT meeting.
03/2/1	Doyle	Mar 03	Done	Email Ron on risk assessment subcommittee.
03/2/2	Philip	Apr 03	Done	Discuss slip page issue with Steve Cobb and report back to BCT.
03/2/3	Josh	Mar 03	Done	Provide Ron with landfill presentation and pdf files for RAB.
03/2/4	Ron	Mar 03	Done	Provide RAB members (who want it) with landfill presentation and information.
03/2/5	Jeanne	Mar 03	Done	Provide Ron with list of issues on geochemical evaluation.
03/4/1	Lee	May 03	SNR	Ensure Right-of-Entry to Choccolocco Corridor for fieldwork.

SNR=Status Next Report

ATTACHMENT E
FACILITATOR NOTES AND OBSERVATIONS

No Facilitator this Meeting