

1 **8.0 Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations**

2
3 This chapter summarizes the results of the RI conducted at the Small Weapons Repair Shop,
4 Parcel 66(7), and presents the major conclusions. In addition, recommendations are made
5 regarding further investigation at the site.
6

7 **8.1 Summary and Conclusions**

8 9 **8.1.1 Geology and Hydrogeology**

10 Data collected from surface and subsurface soil samples and from the installation of temporary
11 and permanent monitoring wells were used to provide site-specific data on the geologic and
12 hydrogeologic conditions at Parcel 66(7).
13

14 The residuum sequence encountered in the subsurface at Parcel 66 (7) consists of a relatively thin
15 silty clay to clay soil that extends to a depth of approximately 10 to 13 feet bgs. Beneath this is
16 an interval of highly weathered shale that extends to a depth of around 30 feet bgs. This unit
17 marks the base of the residuum. The underlying competent bedrock consists of slightly
18 weathered, moderately hard, fractured, dark gray to black shale that is consistent with
19 undifferentiated Floyd and Athens Shale mapped for the site.
20

21 Groundwater flow maps constructed for this portion of FTMC show a divide present beneath
22 Parcel 66(7). Groundwater flow maps constructed for the residuum and bedrock interpret the
23 axis of the divide in the vicinity of Building 335 for both water-bearing zones; groundwater flow
24 at the parcel divides towards the north and towards the south. Hydraulic conductivity values
25 calculated from slug tests yielded geometric mean values of 0.0734 ft/day for residuum wells and
26 0.0922 ft/day for bedrock wells. Average linear groundwater flow velocities were calculated to
27 be 0.0033 ft/day in the residuum and 0.0078 ft/day in the bedrock.
28

29 **8.1.2 Surface and Subsurface Soil Contaminant Distribution**

30 Three surface soil and three subsurface soil samples were collected from beneath the asphalt
31 cover at Parcel 66(7) for chemical analysis.
32

33 In surface soil samples, several metals were detected at concentrations exceeding SSSLs, ESVs,
34 and background screening values. An integrated statistical and geochemical evaluation
35 concluded, however, that the elevated metals concentrations most likely result from the

1 preferential enrichment of samples with iron or manganese oxides that naturally concentrate
2 specific trace elements. The study concluded that the metals are naturally occurring. A similar
3 conclusion was reached for metals that exceeded SSSLs in subsurface soil samples.

4
5 VOCs were detected in surface and subsurface soil samples but at concentrations below SSSLs
6 and/or ESVs. Several SVOCs, all of which were PAH compounds, were detected in one surface
7 soil sample at concentrations exceeding SSSLs and/or ESVs. In subsurface soils, no SVOCs
8 were detected above SSSLs. The occurrence of PAH compounds in the one surface soil sample
9 is believed to be directly related to the asphalt surface covering Parcel 66(7). One pesticide
10 (endrin) was detected in one surface soil sample above its ESV; pesticides were not detected in
11 the subsurface soil samples. Endrin has an adsorption coefficient similar to PAH compounds,
12 and its presence in one surface soil sample beneath the asphalt cover at the site is not considered
13 to pose a threat to human health or the environment.

14 **8.1.3 Groundwater Contaminant Distribution**

15 Nineteen groundwater samples were collected for chemical analysis at Parcel 66(7). Based on
16 the evaluation of the soil and groundwater data, the most likely fate and transport pathway is the
17 leaching of organic contaminants within subsurface soils and movement to the residuum aquifer
18 system.

19
20
21 Although a few metals were detected in groundwater samples at concentrations exceeding SSSLs
22 and background concentrations, an integrated statistical and geochemical evaluation of the data
23 concluded that the metals are most likely naturally occurring. However, the manganese
24 concentration in the groundwater sample from monitoring well PPMP-75-GP01 may be elevated
25 due to reductive dissolution, which is a secondary effect of the VOC contamination in
26 groundwater at that location.

27
28 Five chlorinated VOCs were detected in groundwater samples from two monitoring wells
29 (PPMP-66-MW06 and PPMP-66-MW02) at concentrations exceeding their respective SSSLs:
30 1,1-DCE, 1,2-DCA, cis-1,2-DCE, TCE, and vinyl chloride. These compounds, and 1,1,1-TCA
31 and 1,1-DCA, which are present at concentrations below SSSLs, indicate that active attenuation
32 of precursor solvents (e.g., TCE and 1,1,1-TCA) is occurring through microbial degradation (of
33 TCE), or abiotic degradation through hydrolysis or dehalogenation (of 1,1,1-TCA). The vertical
34 extent of these contaminants in groundwater is restricted to the interval of weathered shale

1 comprising the lower part of the residuum. Contamination is verified as not present in the deeper
2 competent bedrock. The horizontal extent of contamination is defined.

3
4 An estimation of the rate and potential distance of contaminant migration was calculated for both
5 the residuum and bedrock. The maximum solute transport rates for vinyl chloride were
6 calculated to be 0.56 ft/yr in the residuum and 0.65 ft/yr in the bedrock. These values suggest
7 that, over a 50-year period, movement of contaminants beyond the parcel boundary is not likely
8 to occur.

9 10 **8.1.4 Streamlined Human Health Risk Assessment**

11 An SRA was performed to determine the potential threat to human health from exposure to
12 environmental media at Parcel 66(7). Three receptor scenarios were evaluated: groundskeeper,
13 construction worker, and resident. The total ILCR estimates for the groundskeeper and resident
14 are above the risk management range and are considered unacceptable. Total HI estimates for all
15 three receptor scenarios are above the threshold value of 1, indicating that exposure to site media
16 presents an unacceptable noncancer risk. The data clearly show, however, that chlorinated VOCs
17 in groundwater are the risk drivers and are responsible for cancer and noncancer risks exceeding
18 acceptable or threshold levels.

19
20 Four PAH COPCs in soils were selected as COCs. The PAHs were present at concentrations that
21 were consistent with anthropogenic background. On evaluation, the concentrations of the
22 selected COCs fell below RGOs based on a cancer risk of 1E-4. It was concluded that they do
23 not represent a significant site-related threat to human health.

24
25 The SRA identified five VOCs as COPCs in groundwater. Of these, four compounds were
26 selected as COCs: 1,1-DCE, 1,2-DCA, TCE, and vinyl chloride. The SRA concluded that these
27 chlorinated solvents are present in groundwater at levels that present an unacceptable threat to
28 human health. This threat is not realized, however, unless groundwater is developed as a source
29 of potable water.

30 31 **8.1.5 Screening-Level Ecological Risk Assessment**

32 The SLERA identified several constituents in surface soil that exceeded their respective ESVs.
33 Inorganic constituents were found to be associated with iron oxides, and it was concluded that
34 these constituents were naturally occurring. Elevated concentrations of PAHs detected in surface
35 soil were concluded to be at concentrations less than the BTVs for soil beneath asphalt pavement

1 at similar sites at FTMC. Although the pesticide endrin was detected in one surface soil sample,
2 its concentration exceeded its ESV only slightly. Based on review, the SLERA concluded that
3 none of these COPECs presents a risk to terrestrial ecosystems at FTMC.
4

5 **8.2 Recommendations**

6 Based on the summary and conclusions presented above, no further actions are warranted with
7 respect to defining the extent of soil contamination at Parcel 66(7).
8

9 Groundwater contamination by site-related chlorinated solvents has been detected in the
10 residuum in two monitoring wells (PPMP-66-MW06 and PPMP-66-MW02). The aquifer
11 characteristics of the residuum, however, indicate that the horizontal and vertical movement of
12 groundwater in the vicinity of these wells should be limited. The association of constituents
13 present in the groundwater indicates that natural attenuation of the solvents is occurring. In order
14 to evaluate the effectiveness of the attenuation, the following recommendations are made:

- 15
- 16 • Collect an additional round of groundwater samples from all Parcel 66(7) wells
17 and analyze the samples for VOCs and natural attenuation parameters. Depth-to-
18 water measurements should be taken during a period of seasonal high rainfall to
19 confirm groundwater flow direction.
- 20
- 21 • Conduct a feasibility study to screen remedial action technologies and process
22 options for groundwater remedial alternatives. These options would likely include
23 long-term monitoring, monitored natural attenuation, in-situ enhanced
24 bioremediation, and in-situ chemical oxidation.

9.0 References

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1996, *Toxicological Profile for Endrin*, U.S. Public Health Service.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1995, *Toxicological Profile for Nickel*, U.S. Public Health Service.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), 1993, *Toxicological Profile for Beryllium*, U.S. Public Health Service.

Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (ADCNR), 1994a, *Natural Heritage Inventory of Fort McClellan, Main Post: Federal Endangered, Threatened, Candidate Species and State-Listed Species*, Alabama Heritage Program.

Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (ADCNR), 1994b, *Natural Heritage Inventory of Fort McClellan, Pelham Range: Federal Endangered, Threatened, Candidate Species and State-Listed Species*, Alabama Heritage Program.

Alloway, B.J., 1990, *Heavy Metals in Soils*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, New York.

Ambrose, A.M., P.S. Larson, J.F. Borzelleca, and G.R. Hennigar, 1976, "Long-Term Toxicological Assessment of Nickel in Rats and Dogs," *Journal of Food Science*, Tech., Vol. 13, pp. 81-187.

Arthur, M.A., G. Rubin, P.B. Woodbury, R.E. Schneider, and L.H. Weinstein, 1992, "Uptake and Accumulation of Selenium by Terrestrial Plants Growing on a Coal Fly Ash Landfill; Part 2: Forage and Root Crops," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol. 11, pp. 1289-1299.

Auerlich, R.J., R.K. Ringer, M.R. Bleavins, et al., 1982, "Effects of Supplemental Dietary Copper on Growth, Reproductive Performance, and Kit Survival of Standard Dark Mink and the Acute Toxicity of Copper to Mink," *Journal of Animal Science*, Vol. 55, pp.337-343.

Baudouin, M. F. and P. Scoppa, 1974, "Acute Toxicity of Various Metals to Freshwater Zooplankton," *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, Vol. 12, pp.745-751.

Besser, J.M., T.J. Canfield, and T.W. LaPoint, 1993, "Bioaccumulation of Organic and Inorganic Selenium in a Laboratory Food Chain," *Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, Vol. 12, pp. 57-72.

Boikat, U., A. Fink, and J. Bleck-Neuhaus, 1985, "Cesium and Cobalt Transfer from Soil to Vegetation on Permanent Pastures," *Radiation and Environmental Biophysics*, Vol. 24, pp. 287-301.

1
2 Burrows, E. P., D.H. Rosenblatt, W.R. Mitchell, and D.L.Parmer, 1989, ***Organic Explosives and***
3 ***Related Compounds: Environmental and Health Considerations***, U.S. Army Technical Report
4 8901.

5
6 Cain, B.W. and E.A. Pafford, 1981, "Effects of Dietary Nickel on Survival and Growth of
7 Lallard Ducklings," ***Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology***, Vol. 10, pp.
8 737-745.

9
10 Cairns, J. and A. Scheier, 1968, "A Comparison of the Toxicity of Some Common Industrial
11 Waste Components Tested Individually and Combined," ***Program, Fish-Cultivation***, Volume
12 30, pp.3-8.

13
14 Callahan, M. A., M.W. Slimak, and N. Gabel, 1979, ***Water-Related Environmental Fate of 129***
15 ***Priority Pollutants, Volume I***, Office of Water and Waste Management, U.S. Environmental
16 Protection Agency, EPA/440/4-79/092a, Washington, DC.

17
18 Carins, M. A., A.V. Nebeker, J.H. Gakstatter, and W.L. Griffis, 1984, "Toxicity of Copper
19 Spiked Sediments to Freshwater Invertebrates," ***Environmental Toxicology Chemistry***, Vol.
20 3(3), pp. 435-446.

21
22 CH2M Hill, 1994, ***Environmental Compliance Assessment System Report, Fort McClellan,***
23 ***Alabama, 24 May-June, 1993***, prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Mobile
24 District.

25
26 Chernoff, N., R.J. Kavlock, R.C. Hanisch, et al., 1979, "Perinatal Toxicity of Endrin in Rodents,
27 I. Fetotoxic Effects of Prenatal Exposure in Hamsters," ***Toxicology***, Vol. 13, pp. 155-165.

28
29 Clark, M. L., D.G. Harvey, and D.J. Humphreys, 1981, ***Veterinary Toxicology***, Second Edition,
30 Bailliere-Tindall, London, England.

31
32 Cloud, P. E., Jr., 1966, ***Bauxite Deposits of the Anniston, Fort Payne, and Asheville Areas,***
33 ***Northeast Alabama***, U. S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1199-O.

34
35 Cox, D. H., S.A. Schlicker, and R.C. Chu, 1969, "Excess Dietary Zinc for the Maternal Rat and
36 Zinc, Iron, Copper, Calcium, and Magnesium Content and Enzyme Activity in Maternal and
37 Fetal Tissues," ***Journal of Nutrition***, Vol. 98, pp.459-466.

38
39 Coyle, J.J., Buckler, D.R., Ingersoll, C.G., Fairchild, J.F. and T.W. 1993, "Effect of Dietary
40 Selenium on the Reproductive Success of Bluegills (*Lepomis macrochirus*)," ***Environmental***
41 ***Toxicology and Chemistry***, Vol. 12, pp. 551-565.

42
43 Deichmann, W.B., MacDonald, W.E., Blum, E., et al., 1970, "Tumorigenicity of Aldrin,
44 Dieldrin, and Endrin in the Albino Rat," ***Industrial Medical Surgery***, Vol. 39, pp. 37-45.

- 1 Dragun, J., 1988, *The Soil Chemistry of Hazardous Materials: Hazardous Material Control*
2 *Research Institute*, Silver Spring, Maryland.
- 3
- 4 EDAW, Inc. 1997, *Fort McClellan Comprehensive Reuse Plan, Implementation Strategy*,
5 report prepared for the Fort McClellan Reuse and Redevelopment Authority of Alabama,
6 November.
- 7
- 8 Efroymson, R.A., Will, M.E., Suter, G.W., and Wooten, A.C., 1997, *Toxicological Benchmarks*
9 *for Screening Contaminants of Potential Concern for Effects on Terrestrial Plants: 1997*
10 *Revision*, ES/ER/TM-85/R3, Office of Environmental Management, U. S. Department of
11 Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- 12
- 13 Eisler, R., 1993, *Zinc Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review*, U.S.
14 Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report, Vol. 85(1.26).
- 15
- 16 Eisler, R., 1987, *Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and*
17 *Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review*, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report, Vol.
18 85(1.11).
- 19
- 20 Eisler, R., 1985, *Selenium Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: A Synoptic Review*,
21 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report, Vol. 85(1.5).
- 22
- 23 Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc, (ESE), 1998, *Final Environmental Baseline*
24 *Survey, Fort McClellan, Alabama*, prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Aberdeen
25 Proving Ground, Maryland, January.
- 26
- 27 Evans, R. D., Andrews, D., and Cornett, R.J., 1988, "Chemical Fractioning and Bioavailability of
28 Cobalt-60 to Benthic Deposit Feeders," *Journal of Canadian Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*,
29 Vol. 45, pp. 228-236.
- 30
- 31 Fetter, C.W., 1988, *Applied Hydrogeology*, Merrill Publishing, Columbus, Ohio
- 32
- 33 Finger, S. E., Little, E.F., Henry, M.G., Fairchild, J.F., and Boyle, T.P., 1985, *Comparison of*
34 *Laboratory and Field Assessment of Fluorene -- Part I: Effects of Fluorene on the Survival,*
35 *Growth, Reproduction, and Behavior of Aquatic Organisms in Laboratory Tests. Validation*
36 *and Predictability of Laboratory Methods for Assessing the Fate and Effects of Contaminants*
37 *in Aquatic Ecosystems*, T. P. Boyle, ed., American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM
38 STP 865, pp. 120-133.
- 39
- 40 Fishbein, L., 1981, "Sources, Transport, and Alterations of Metal Compounds: an Overview. I.
41 Arsenic, Beryllium, Cadmium, Chromium, and Nickel," *Environmental Health Perspectives*,
42 Vol. 40, pp. 43-64.
- 43
- 44 Fleming, W.J., Ross McLane, M.A., and Cromartie, E., 1982, "Endrin Decreases Screech Owl
45 Productivity," *Journal of Wildlife Management*, Vol. 46, pp. 462-468.

1
2 Fort McClellan (FTMC), 1985, Letter from LTC Robert Cooper to LTC George Pincince
3 (Director of Engineering and Housing, Fort McClellan, Alabama), "*Possible Water Pollution at*
4 *Weapons Branch, Building 335*," December 18.

5
6 Francis, C. W., Davis, E.C., and Goyert, J.C. 1980, "Plant Uptake of Trace Elements from Coal
7 Gasification Ashes," *Journal of Environmental Quality*, Vol. 14, pp.561-569.

8
9 Frost, D.V. and Lish, P.M., 1975, "Selenium in Biology," *Annual Review Pharmacology*, Vol.
10 15, pp. 259-284.

11
12 Fuller, R. H. and R. C. Averett, 1975, "Evaluation of Copper Accumulation in Part of the
13 California Aqueduct," *Water Resource Bulletin*, Vol. 11, pp.946-952.

14
15 Ganther, H.E., 1974, *Biochemistry of Selenium in Selenium*, R.A. Zingaro and Cooper, W.C.,
16 eds, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, pp. 546-614.

17
18 Garland, B. W., 1996, *Endangered Species Management Plan for Fort McClellan, Alabama*,
19 Directorate of Environment.

20
21 Gatlin, D.M. and Wilson, R.P., 1984, "Dietary Selenium Requirement of Fingerling Channel
22 Catfish," *Journal of Nutrition*, Vol. 114, pp. 627-633.

23
24 Good, E.E. and Ware, G.W., 1969, "Effects of Insecticides on Reproduction in the Laboratory
25 Mouse, IV: Endrin and Dieldrin," *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 14: 201-203.

26
27 Hall, R.J. and Mulhern, B.H., 1984, "Are Anuran Amphibians Heavy Metal Accumulators?" in
28 *Vertebrate Ecology and Systematics – A Tribute to Henry S. Fitch*, Seigel, R.A., Hunt, L.E.,
29 Knight J.L., Malaret, L., and Zuschlag, N.L., (eds.), Museum of Natural History, University of
30 Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, pp.123-133.

31
32 Hammond, P.B. and Beliles, R.P. 1980, "Metals," *Casarett and Doull's Toxicology: The Basic*
33 *Science of Poisons*, 2nd ed., Doull, J., Klaassen, C.D., and Amdur, M.O., (eds.), Macmillan
34 Publishing, New York, New York, pp. 409-4671.

35
36 Hassan, M.Q., Numan, I.T., et al., 1991, "Endrin-Induced Histopathological Changes and Lipid
37 Peroxidation in Livers and Kidneys of Rats, Mice, Guinea Pigs, and Hamsters," *Toxicological*
38 *Pathology*, Vol. 19(2), pp.108-114.

39
40 Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (HEAST), 1997, Office of Solid Waste and
41 Emergency Response, Washington, DC, EPA-540-R-97-036.

42
43 Heinz, G.H., Hoffman, D.J., Krynitsky, A.J. and Weller, D.M.G., 1987, "Reproduction in
44 Mallards Fed Selenium," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol. 6, pp. 423-433.

45

1 Hernandez, L. M., Gonzalez, J., Rico, C., et al., 1985, "Presence and Biomagnification of
2 Organochlorine Pollutants and Heavy Metals in Mammals in Donana National Park (Spain),"
3 ***Journal Environment Science Health***, Vol. 20, pp.633-650.
4

5 Hoffman, D. J., and Gay, M.L., 1981, "Embryotoxic Effects of Benzo(a)pyrene, Chrysene, and
6 7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene in Petroleum Hydrocarbon Mixtures in Mallard Ducks,"
7 ***Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health***, Vol. 7, pp. 775-787.
8

9 Hose, J. E., Hannah, J.B., Dijulio, D., Landolt, M.L., Miller, B.S., Iwaoka, W.T., and Felton,
10 S.P., 1982, "Effects of Benzo(a)pyrene on Early Development of Flatfish," ***Archives of***
11 ***Environmental Contamination Toxicology***, Vol.11, pp.167-171.
12

13 Hunter, B.A. and Johnson, M.S., 1982, "Food Chain Relationship of Copper and Cadmium in
14 Contaminated Grassland Ecosystems," ***Oikos***, Vol. 38, pp. 108-177.
15

16 ICRP, 1979, ***Limits for Intakes of Radionuclides by Workers***, Publication 39, Part 1,
17 Commission on Radiological Protection, Washington, DC.
18

19 IT Corporation (IT), 2002, ***Draft Installation-Wide Work Plan, Fort McClellan, Calhoun***
20 ***County, Alabama***, Revision 2, February.
21

22 IT Corporation (IT), 2000a, ***Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan Addendum, Remedial***
23 ***Investigation, Small Weapons Repair Shop, Parcel 66(7), Fort McClellan, Calhoun County,***
24 ***Alabama***, November.
25

26 IT Corporation (IT), 2000b, ***Final Installation-Wide Sampling and Analysis Plan, Fort***
27 ***McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama***, March.
28

29 IT Corporation (IT), 2000c, ***Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH***
30 ***Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama***, July.
31

32 IT Corporation (IT), 1998a, ***Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan Attachment for the Site***
33 ***Investigation at the Former Ordnance Motor Repair Area, Parcels 75(7), 41(7), 42(7), 5(7),***
34 ***6(7), and 66(7), Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama***, December.
35

36 IT Corporation (IT), 1998b, ***Final Installation-Wide Work Plan, Fort McClellan, Calhoun***
37 ***County, Alabama***, August.
38

39 Jarvinen, A.W. and Ankley, G.T., 1999, ***Linkage of Effects to Tissue Residues: Development of***
40 ***a Comprehensive Database for Aquatic Organisms Exposed to Inorganic and Organic***
41 ***Chemicals***, SETAC Technical Publication Series, SETAC Press.
42

43 Jenkins, D. W., 1980, ***Biological Monitoring of Toxic Trace Metals: Volume 1***, Biological
44 ***Monitoring and Surveillance***, NTIS PB81-103475.
45

1 Kabata-Pendias, A. and Pendias, H., 1992, *Trace Elements in Soils and Plants*, 2nd edition, CRC
2 Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
3
4 Kinnamon, K. E., 1963, "Some Independent and Combined Effects of Copper, Molybdenum, and
5 Zinc on the Placental Transfer of Zinc-65 in the Rat," *Journal of Nutrition*, 81:312-320.
6
7 Klaassen, C. D., Amdur, M.O., and Doull, S., 1991, *Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons*,
8 Pergamon Press, Inc., Elmsford, New York.
9
10 Knobloch, K., Szendzikowski, S., and Slusarczyk-Zalobna A., 1969, "Acute and Subacute
11 Toxicity of Acenaphthene and Acenaphthylene," *Med. Pracy.*, Vol. 20(3), pp.210-222.
12
13 Kosalwat, P. and Knight, A.W., 1987, "Chronic Toxicity of Copper to a Partial Life Cycle of the
14 Midge *Chironomus decorus*," *Archives of Environmental Contaminant Toxicology*, Vol. 16(3),
15 pp. 283-290.
16
17 Lecyk, M., 1980, "Toxicity of Cupric Sulfate in Mice Embryonic Development," *Zoology*
18 *Pollution*, Vol., 28(2), pp.101-105.
19
20 Lyman, W.J., W.F. Reehl, and D.H. Rosenblatt, 1982, *Handbook of Chemical Property*
21 *Estimation Methods: Environmental Behavior of Organic Compounds*, McGraw Hill Book
22 Co., New York, New York.
23
24 MacKenzie, K. M. and D.M. Angevine, 1981, "Infertility in Mice Exposed in Utero to
25 Benzo(a)pyrene," *Biology of Reproduction*, Vol. 24, pp. 183-191.
26
27 Maier, K.J., C.G. Foe, and A.W. Knight, 1993, "Comparative Toxicity of Selenate, Selenite,
28 Seleno-DL-methionine, and Seleno-DL-cystine to *Daphnia magna*," *Environmental Toxicology*
29 *and Chemistry*, Vol. 12, pp. 755-763.
30
31 Marceau, N., N. Aspin, and A. Sass-Kortsak, 1970, "Absorption of copper from Gastrointestinal
32 Tract of the Rat," *American Journal of Physiology*, Vol. 218, pp. 377-383.
33
34 Mehring, A.L., Brumbaugh J.H., Sutherland, A.J., and Titus, W.H., 1960, "The Tolerance of
35 Growing Chickens for Dietary Copper," *Poultry Science*, Vol. 39, pp.713-719.
36
37 *Merck Index*, 1983, 10th edition, Rahway, New Jersey, Merck Co., Inc.
38
39 Metcalf, R.L., I.P. Kapoor, Lu, C.K., et al., 1973, "Model Ecosystem Studies of Environmental
40 Fate of Six Organochlorine Pesticides," *Environmental Health Perspective*, Vol. 4, pp.35-44.
41
42 Moore, J. W., and S. Ramamoorthy, 1984, *Heavy Metals in Natural Waters: Applied*
43 *Monitoring and Impact Assessment*, DeSanto, R.S., ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, New
44 York.
45

- 1 Moser, P. H., and S. S. DeJarnette, 1992, ***Ground-water Availability in Calhoun County,***
2 ***Alabama***, Geological Survey of Alabama, Special Map 228.
- 3
- 4 Nash, R.G. and E.A. Woolson, 1967, "Persistence of Chlorinated Hydrocarbon Insecticides in
5 Soil," ***Science***, Vol.157, p. 924-927.
- 6
- 7 National Academy of Sciences (NAS), 1979, ***Zinc***, National Academy of Sciences, Washington,
8 D.C., 471 pp.
- 9
- 10 National Academy of Sciences (NAS), 1977, ***Drinking Water and Health - Inorganic Solutes***,
11 National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., p. 205-488.
- 12
- 13 National Library of Medicine (NLM), 1996, Hazardous Substance Data Bank, produced by
14 Micromedix, Inc.
- 15
- 16 National Research Council (NRC), 1977, ***Drinking Water and Health, Volume 1***, Washington,
17 DC, National Academy Press.
- 18
- 19 Neff, J. M., 1985, "Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons," ***Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology***,
20 G.M. Rand, and S.R. Petrocelli, eds., Hemisphere Publishing Corp., Washington, DC.
- 21
- 22 New South Associates (NSA), 1993, ***The Military Showplace of the South, Fort McClellan,***
23 ***Alabama, A History Building Inventory***.
- 24
- 25 Ontario Ministry of the Environment, 1992, ***Guidelines for the Protection and Management of***
26 ***Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario***.
- 27
- 28 Osborne, W. E., and M. W. Szabo, 1984, ***Stratigraphy and Structure of the Jacksonville Fault,***
29 ***Calhoun County, Alabama***, Geological Survey of Alabama, Circular 117.
- 30
- 31 Osborne, W. E., M. W. Szabo, T. L. Neathery, and C. W. Copeland, compilers, 1988, ***Geologic***
32 ***Map of Alabama, Northeast Sheet***, Geological Survey of Alabama, Special Map 220, Scale
33 1:250,000.
- 34
- 35 Osborne, W. E., M. W. Szabo, C. W. Copeland, Jr., and T. L. Neathery, 1989, ***Geologic Map of***
36 ***Alabama***, Geological Survey of Alabama, Special Map 221, Scale 1:500,000.
- 37
- 38 Osborne, W. E., G. D. Irving, and W. E. Ward, 1997, ***Geologic Map of the Anniston 7.5'***
39 ***Quadrangle, Calhoun County, Alabama***, Geological Survey of Alabama, Preliminary Map.
- 40
- 41 Osborne, W. E., 1999, Personal communication with John Hofer, IT Corporation.
- 42
- 43 Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton, 1993, ***Guidelines for the Protection and***
44 ***Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario***, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and
45 Energy.

1
2 Peterson, P.J. and C.A. Girling, 1981, "Other Trace Metals," *Effect of Heavy Metal Pollution on*
3 *Plants, Vol. 1, Effects of Trace Metals on Plant Function*, Lepp, N.W. (ed.), Applied Science
4 Publishers, New Jersey, pp. 213-278.
5
6 Planert, M., and J. L. Pritchett Jr., 1989, *Geohydrology and Susceptibility of Major Aquifers to*
7 *Surface Contamination in Alabama; Area 4*, U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources
8 Investigations Report 88-4133.
9
10 Raymond, D.E., W.E. Osborne, C.W. Copeland, and T.L. Neathery, 1988, *Alabama*
11 *Stratigraphy*, Geological Survey of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama.
12
13 Reeves, A. and A. Vorwald, 1967, "Beryllium Carcinogenesis, Pulmonary Deposition and
14 Clearance of Inhaled Beryllium Sulfate in the Rat," *Cancer Research*, Vol., 27 pp. 446-451.
15
16 Reisz Engineering (Reisz), 1998, *Integrated Natural Resource Management Plan (INRMP),*
17 *Final Report for 1998-2002*, prepared for the Directorate of Environment, Fort McClellan,
18 Alabama, October.
19
20 Rhodes, F.M., S.M. Olsen, and A. Manning, 1989, "Copper Toxicity in Tomato Plants," *Journal*
21 *of Environmental Quality*, Vol. 18, pp. 195-197.
22
23 Romney, E.M. and J.D. Childress, 1965, "Effects of Beryllium in Plants and Soil," *Soil Science*,
24 Vol. 100(2), pp. 210-217.
25
26 Rosenfeld, I. and O.A. Beath, 1954, "Effect of Selenium on Reproduction in Rats," *Proceedings*
27 *Society of Experimental Biology and Medicine*, Vol., 87, pp. 295-297.
28
29 Roy F. Weston, Inc. (Weston), 1990, Final USATHAMA Task Order 11, *Enhanced Preliminary*
30 *Assessment, Fort McClellan, Anniston, Alabama*, prepared for the U.S. Army Toxic and
31 Hazardous Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland, December.
32
33 Sample, B.E., D.M. Opresko, and G.W Suter, 1996, *Toxicological Benchmarks for Wildlife:*
34 *1996 Revision*, Risk Assessment Program, Office of Environmental Management, U.S.
35 Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, ES/ER/TM-86/R3.
36
37 Schlicker, S.A. and D.H. Cox, 1968, "Maternal Dietary Zinc and Development and Zinc, Iron,
38 and Copper Content of the Rat Fetus," *Journal of Nutrition*, Vol. 95, pp. 287-294.
39
40 Schroeder, H.A., J.J. Balassa, and W.H. Vinton, 1964, "Chromium, Lead, Cadmium, Nickel, and
41 Titanium in Mice: Effects on Mortality, Tumors, and Tissue Levels," *Journal of Nutrition*, Vol.
42 83, pp. 239-250.
43
44 Schroeder, H.A. and M. Mitchener, 1975, "Life-Term Studies in Rats: Effects of Aluminum,
45 Barium, Beryllium, and Tungsten," *Journal of Nutrition*, Vol.105, pp. 421-427.

- 1
2 Schubauer-Berigan, M.K., J.R. Dierkes, P.D. Monson, and G.T. Ankley, 1993, "pH-Dependent
3 Toxicity of Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn to *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, *Pimephales promelas*, *Hyallolela*
4 *azteca*, and *Lumbriculus variegatus*," *Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, Vol. 12,
5 pp. 1261-1266.
- 6
7 Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 2000, *Final Remedial*
8 *Investigation/Baseline Risk Assessment Report*, Fort McClellan, Alabama.
- 9
10 Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 1998, *Final Background Metals Survey*
11 *Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama*, July.
- 12
13 Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 1993, *Site Investigation Report, Fort*
14 *McClellan, Alabama*, prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Installation Restoration
15 Division, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, August.
- 16
17 Scott, J.C., W.F. Harris, and R.H. Cobb, 1987, *Geohydrology and Susceptibility of Coldwater*
18 *Spring and Jackson Fault Areas to Surface Contamination in Calhoun County, Alabama*,
19 U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 87-4031.
- 20
21 Shepard, T. H., 1986, *Catalog of Teratogenic Agents*, 5th edition, Baltimore, Maryland, The
22 Johns Hopkins University Press.
- 23
24 Shugart, L. R., 1991, *Dinitrotoluene in Deer Tissue*, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Final
25 Report, ORNL/M-1765, September.
- 26
27 Sims, R. C. and M.R. Overcash, 1983, "Fate of Polynuclear Aromatic Compounds (PNAs) in
28 Soil-Plant Systems," *Resource Review*, Vol. 88, pp. 1-68.
- 29
30 Smith, I. C. and B.L. Carson, 1981, *Trace Metals in the Environment. Volume 6: Cobalt and*
31 *Appraisal of Environmental Exposure*, Ann Arbor, Michigan, Ann Arbor Science Publishers,
32 Inc.
- 33
34 Spann, J.W., G.H. Heinz, and C.S. Hulse, 1986, "Reproduction and Health in Mallards Fed
35 Endrin," *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, Vol.5, pp.755-759.
- 36
37 Sprague, J. B., 1968, "Avoidance Reactions of Rainbow Trout to Zinc Sulfate Solutions," *Water*
38 *Resources*, Vol. 2, pp. 367.
- 39
40 Stahl, J.L., J.L. Greger, and M.E. Cook, 1990. "Breeding Hen and Progeny Performance When
41 Hens are Fed Excessive Dietary Zinc," *Poultry Science*, Vol. 69, pp. 259-263.
- 42
43 Suter, G. W., and C.L. Tsao, 1996, *Toxicological Benchmarks for Screening Potential*
44 *Contaminants of Concern for Effects on Aquatic Biota: 1996 Revision*, Risk Assessment
45 Program, U. S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, ES/ER/TM-96/R2.

1
2 Talmage, S. S., D.M. Opresko, C.J. Maxwell, C. Welsh, F. Cretella, P.H. Reno, and F.B. Daniel,
3 1999, "Nitroaromatic Munition Compounds: Environmental Effects and Screening Values,"
4 ***Reviews in Environmental Contaminant Toxicology***, Vol. 161, pp.1-156.
5
6 Talmage, S.S., and B.T. Walton, 1991, "Small Mammals as Monitors of Environmental
7 Contaminants," ***Reviews in Environmental Contaminant Toxicology***, Vol. 119, pp. 47-145.
8
9 Thomas, W.A., and J.A. Drahovzal, 1974, ***The Coosa Deformed Belt in the Alabama***
10 ***Appalachians***, Alabama Geological Society, 12th Annual Field Trip Guidebook.
11
12 Thomas, W.A., and T.L. Neathery, 1982, ***Appalachian Thrust Belts in Alabama: Tectonics and***
13 ***Sedimentation***, Geologic Society of America, 1982 Annual Meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana,
14 Field Trip, Alabama Geological Society, Guidebook 19A.
15
16 Treon, J.F., F.P. Cleveland, and J. Cappel, 1955, "Toxicity of Endrin for Laboratory Animals,"
17 ***Agricultural and Food Chemistry***, Vol. 3, pp. 842-848.
18
19 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 1994, ***Requirements for the Preparation of Sampling***
20 ***and Analysis Plans***, Engineer Manual EM 200-1-3, September.
21
22 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 1992, ***Preliminary Wetland Survey, Fort McClellan***
23 ***and Pelham Range, Anniston, Alabama***, Mobile, Alabama.
24
25 U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA) 1994, ***Health Risk Assessment for***
26 ***Consumption of Deer Muscle and Liver from Joliet Army Ammunition Plant***, Toxicology
27 Division.
28
29 U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 decennial U.S. Census data, online, www.census.gov.
30
31 U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 decennial U.S. Census data, online, www.census.gov.
32
33 U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1961, ***Soil Survey, Calhoun County, Alabama***, Soil
34 Conservation Service, Series 1958, No. 9, September.
35
36 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002, ***Integrated Risk Information System***
37 ***(IRIS)***, on-line.
38
39 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1999a, ***Screening Level Ecological Risk***
40 ***Assessment Protocol for Hazardous Waste Combustion Facilities***, Office of Solid Waste and
41 Emergency Response, Washington, DC, EPA530-D-99-001.
42
43 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1999b, ***National Recommended Water Quality***
44 ***Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants***, EPA/822-Z-99-001, Office of Water, Washington, DC.

- 1
2 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1996, ***Soil Screening Guidance: Technical***
3 ***Background Document***, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, EPA/540/R-95/128,
4 NTIS No. PB96-963502.
- 5
6 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1995a, ***Supplemental Guidance to RAGS:***
7 ***Region 4 Bulletins, Human Health Risk Assessment***, Region 4, Atlanta, Georgia.
- 8
9 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1995b, ***Final Water Quality Guidance for the***
10 ***Great Lakes System***, Office of Water, Washington, DC.
- 11
12 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1993, ***Wildlife Exposure Factors Handbook***,
13 Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC., EPA/600-R-93/187.
- 14
15 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1990, 40 CFR Part 300, "National Oil and
16 Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; Final Rule," ***Federal Register*** 55 (46): 8666-
17 8865.
- 18
19 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1989, ***Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund,***
20 ***Vol. I: Human Health Evaluation Manual***, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response,
21 Washington, DC, EPA/540/1-89/002.
- 22
23 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1985a, ***Environmental Profiles and Hazard***
24 ***Indices for Constituents of Municipal Sludge: Beryllium***, Office of Water Regulations and
25 Standards, Washington, DC.
- 26
27 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1985b, ***Drinking Water Criteria Document on***
28 ***Copper***, Office of Drinking Water, Washington, DC.
- 29
30 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1984, ***Health Effects Assessment for Zinc (and***
31 ***Compounds)***, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- 32
33 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1980, ***Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Zinc***,
34 Office of Water Regulations and Standards, Washington, DC, EPA 440/5-80-079.
- 35
36 Venugopal, B. and T.D. Luckey, 1978, ***Metal Toxicity in Mammals, Volume 2***, New York, New
37 York, Plenum Press.
- 38
39 Verschueren, K., 1983, ***Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals, Second***
40 ***Edition***, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, NY.
- 41
42 Warman, J. C, and L. V. Causey, 1962, ***Geology and Ground-water Resources of Calhoun***
43 ***County, Alabama, Geological Survey of Alabama***, County Report 7.
- 44
45 Zander, M., 1983, "Physical and Chemical Properties of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons,"
46 ***Handbook of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons***, A. Bjorseth, ed., Marcel Dekker, Inc., New
47 York, New York, pp. 1-25.

ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

2,4-D	2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid	BCT	BRAC Cleanup Team	Cl	chlorinated
2,4,5-T	2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid	BERA	baseline ecological risk assessment	CLP	Contract Laboratory Program
2,4,5-TP	silvex	BEHP	bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	cm	centimeter
3D	3D International Environmental Group	BFB	bromofluorobenzene	CN	chloroacetophenone
AB	ambient blank	BFE	base flood elevation	CNB	chloroacetophenone, benzene, and carbon tetrachloride
AbB3	Anniston gravelly clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, severely eroded	BG	Bacillus globigii	CNS	chloroacetophenone, chloropicrin, and chloroform
AbC3	Anniston gravelly clay loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, severely eroded	bgs	below ground surface	CO	carbon monoxide
AbD3	Anniston and Allen gravelly clay loams, 10 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	BHC	betahexachlorocyclohexane	Co-60	cobalt-60
Abs	skin absorption	BHHRA	baseline human health risk assessment	CoA	Code of Alabama
ABS	dermal absorption factor	BIRTC	Branch Immaterial Replacement Training Center	COC	chain of custody; contaminant of concern
AC	hydrogen cyanide	bkg	background	COE	Corps of Engineers
ACAD	AutoCadd	bls	below land surface	Con	skin or eye contact
AcB2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	BOD	biological oxygen demand	COPC	chemical(s) of potential concern
AcC2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	Bp	soil-to-plant biotransfer factors	COPEC	chemical(s) of potential ecological concern
AcD2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 10 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	BRAC	Base Realignment and Closure	CPSS	chemicals present in site samples
AcE2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	Braun	Braun Intertec Corporation	CQCSM	Contract Quality Control System Manager
ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists	BSAF	biota-to-sediment accumulation factors	CRDL	contract-required detection limit
AdE	Anniston and Allen stony loam, 10 to 25 percent slope	BSC	background screening criterion	CRL	certified reporting limit
ADEM	Alabama Department of Environmental Management	BTAG	Biological Technical Assistance Group	CRQL	contract-required quantitation limit
ADPH	Alabama Department of Public Health	BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes	CRZ	contamination reduction zone
AEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center	BTOC	below top of casing	Cs-137	cesium-137
AEL	airborne exposure limit	BTV	background threshold value	CS	ortho-chlorobenzylidene-malononitrile
AET	adverse effect threshold	BW	biological warfare; body weight	CSEM	conceptual site exposure model
AF	soil-to-skin adherence factor	BZ	breathing zone; 3-quinuclidinyl benzilate	CSM	conceptual site model
AHA	ammunition holding area	C	ceiling limit value	CT	central tendency
AL	Alabama	Ca	carcinogen	ctr.	container
ALAD	-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase	CAB	chemical warfare agent breakdown products	CWA	chemical warfare agent
amb.	Amber	CAMU	corrective action management unit	CWM	chemical warfare material; clear, wide mouth
amsl	above mean sea level	CBR	chemical, biological and radiological	CX	dichloroformoxime
ANAD	Anniston Army Depot	CCAL	continuing calibration	'D'	duplicate; dilution
AOC	area of concern	CCB	continuing calibration blank	D&I	detection and identification
APEC	areas of potential ecological concern	CCV	continuing calibration verification	DAF	dilution-attenuation factor
APT	armor-piercing tracer	CD	compact disc	DANC	decontamination agent, non-corrosive
AR	analysis request	CDTF	Chemical Defense Training Facility	°C	degrees Celsius
ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement	CEHNC	U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville	°F	degrees Fahrenheit
AREE	area requiring environmental evaluation	CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act	DCA	dichloroethane
ASP	Ammunition Supply Point	CERFA	Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act	DCE	dichloroethene
ASR	Archives Search Report	CESAS	Corps of Engineers South Atlantic Savannah	DDD	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
AST	aboveground storage tank	CF	conversion factor	DDE	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethene
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials	CFC	chlorofluorocarbon	DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
AT	averaging time	CFDP	Center for Domestic Preparedness	DEH	Directorate of Engineering and Housing
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	DEP	depositional soil
ATV	all-terrain vehicle	CG	carbonyl chloride (phosgene)	DFTPP	decafluorotriphenylphosphine
AWARE	Associated Water and Air Resources Engineers, Inc.	CGI	combustible gas indicator	DI	deionized
AWWSB	Anniston Water Works and Sewer Board	ch	inorganic clays of high plasticity	DID	data item description
'B'	Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit (and greater than zero)	CHPPM	U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine	DIMP	di-isopropylmethylphosphonate
BCF	blank correction factor; bioconcentration factor	CK	cyanogen chloride	DM	dry matter
		cl	inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity	DMBA	dimethylbenz(a)anthracene

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

DMMP	dimethylmethylphosphonate	FB	field blank	GST	ground stain
DOD	U.S. Department of Defense	FD	field duplicate	GW	groundwater
DOJ	U.S. Department of Justice	FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration	gw	well-graded gravels; gravel-sand mixtures
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation	FedEx	Federal Express, Inc.	HA	hand auger
DP	direct-push	FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	HCl	hydrochloric acid
DPDO	Defense Property Disposal Office	FFCA	Federal Facilities Compliance Act	HD	distilled mustard
DPT	direct-push technology	FFE	field flame expedient	HDPE	high-density polyethylene
DQO	data quality objective	FFS	focused feasibility study	HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
DRMO	Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office	FI	fraction of exposure	Herb.	herbicides
DRO	diesel range organics	Fil	filtered	HHRA	human health risk assessment
DS	deep (subsurface) soil	Flt	filtered	HI	hazard index
DS2	Decontamination Solution Number 2	FMDC	Fort McClellan Development Commission	HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
DWEL	drinking water equivalent level	FML	flexible membrane liner	HNO ₃	nitric acid
E&E	Ecology and Environment, Inc.	FMP 1300	Former Motor Pool 1300	HQ	hazard quotient
EB	equipment blank	FOMRA	Former Ordnance Motor Repair Area	HQ _{screen}	screening-level hazard quotient
EBS	environmental baseline survey	Foster Wheeler	Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation	hr	hour
EC ₅₀	effects concentration for 50 percent of a population	Frtn	fraction	H&S	health and safety
ECBC	Edgewood Chemical/Biological Command	FS	field split; feasibility study	HSA	hollow-stem auger
ED	exposure duration	FSP	field sampling plan	HTRW	hazardous, toxic, and radioactive waste
EDD	electronic data deliverable	ft	feet	'I'	out of control, data rejected due to low recovery
EF	exposure frequency	ft/ft	feet per foot	IATA	International Air Transport Authority
EDQL	ecological data quality level	FTA	Fire Training Area	ICAL	initial calibration
EE/CA	engineering evaluation and cost analysis	FTMC	Fort McClellan	ICB	initial calibration blank
Elev.	elevation	FTRRA	FTMC Reuse & Redevelopment Authority	ICP	inductively-coupled plasma
EM	electromagnetic	g	gram	ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection
EMI	Environmental Management Inc.	g/m ³	gram per cubic meter	ICS	interference check sample
EM31	Geonics Limited EM31 Terrain Conductivity Meter	G-856	Geometrics, Inc. G-856 magnetometer	ID	inside diameter
EM61	Geonics Limited EM61 High-Resolution Metal Detector	G-858G	Geometrics, Inc. G-858G magnetic gradiometer	IDL	instrument detection limit
EOD	explosive ordnance disposal	GAF	gastrointestinal absorption factor	IDLH	immediately dangerous to life or health
EODT	explosive ordnance disposal team	gal	gallon	IDM	investigative-derived media
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	gal/min	gallons per minute	IDW	investigation-derived waste
EPC	exposure point concentration	GB	sarin	IEUBK	Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic
EPIC	Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center	gc	clay gravels; gravel-sand-clay mixtures	IF	ingestion factor; inhalation factor
EPRI	Electrical Power Research Institute	GC	gas chromatograph	ILCR	incremental lifetime cancer risk
ER	equipment rinsate	GCL	geosynthetic clay liner	IMPA	isopropylmethyl phosphonic acid
ERA	ecological risk assessment	GC/MS	gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer	IMR	Iron Mountain Road
ER-L	effects range-low	GCR	geosynthetic clay liner	in.	inch
ER-M	effects range-medium	GFAA	graphite furnace atomic absorption	Ing	ingestion
ESE	Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc.	GIS	Geographic Information System	Inh	inhalation
ESMP	Endangered Species Management Plan	gm	silty gravels; gravel-sand-silt mixtures	IP	ionization potential
ESN	Environmental Services Network, Inc.	gp	poorly graded gravels; gravel-sand mixtures	IPS	International Pipe Standard
ESV	ecological screening value	gpm	gallons per minute	IR	ingestion rate
ET	exposure time	GPR	ground-penetrating radar	IRDMIS	Installation Restoration Data Management Information System
EU	exposure unit	GPS	global positioning system	IRIS	Integrated Risk Information Service
Exp.	explosives	GS	ground scar	IRP	Installation Restoration Program
E-W	east to west	GSA	General Services Administration; Geologic Survey of Alabama	IS	internal standard
EZ	exclusion zone	GSBP	Ground Scar Boiler Plant	ISCP	Installation Spill Contingency Plan
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulations	GSSI	Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc.	IT	IT Corporation

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

ITEMS	IT Environmental Management System™	MM	mounded material	NRC	National Research Council
'J'	estimated concentration	MMBtu/hr	million Btu per hour	NRCC	National Research Council of Canada
JeB2	Jefferson gravelly fine sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	MOGAS	motor vehicle gasoline	NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
JeC2	Jefferson gravelly fine sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	MP	Military Police	ns	nanosecond
JfB	Jefferson stony fine sandy loam, 0 to 10 percent slopes have strong slopes	MPA	methyl phosphonic acid	N-S	north to south
JPA	Joint Powers Authority	MPM	most probable munition	NS	not surveyed
K	conductivity	MQL	method quantitation limit	NSA	New South Associates, Inc.
K _{ow}	octonal-water partition coefficient	MR	molasses residue	nT	nanotesla
L	lewisite; liter	MRL	method reporting limit	nT/m	nanoteslas per meter
l	liter	MS	matrix spike	NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
LBP	lead-based paint	mS/cm	millisiemens per centimeter	nv	not validated
LC	liquid chromatography	mS/m	millisiemens per meter	O ₂	oxygen
LCS	laboratory control sample	MSD	matrix spike duplicate	O&G	oil and grease
LC ₅₀	lethal concentration for 50 percent population tested	MTBE	methyl tertiary butyl ether	O&M	operation and maintenance
LD ₅₀	lethal dose for 50 percent population tested	msl	mean sea level	OB/OD	open burning/open detonation
LEL	lower explosive limit	MtD3	Montevallo shaly, silty clay loam, 10 to 40 percent slopes , severely eroded	OD	outside diameter
LOAEL	lowest-observed-advserse-effects-level	mV	millivolts	OE	ordnance and explosives
LT	less than the certified reporting limit	MW	monitoring well	oh	organic clays of medium to high plasticity
LUC	land-use control	MWI&P	Monitoring Well Installation and Management Plan	ol	organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity
LUCAP	land-use control assurance plan	Na	sodium	OP	organophosphorus
LUCIP	land-use control implementation plan	NA	not applicable; not available	ORP	oxidation-reduction potential
max	maximum	NAD	North American Datum	OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
MB	method blank	NAD83	North American Datum of 1983	OSWER	Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
MCL	maximum contaminant level	NAVD88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988	OVM-PID/FID	organic vapor meter-photoionization detector/flame ionization detector
MCLG	maximum contaminant level goal	NAS	National Academy of Sciences	OVS	oil/water separator
MCPA	4-chloro-2-methylphenoxyacetic acid	NCEA	National Center for Environmental Assessment	oz	ounce
MCS	media cleanup standard	NCP	National Contingency Plan	PA	preliminary assessment
MD	matrix duplicate	NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements	PAH	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon
MDC	maximum detected concentration	ND	not detected	PARCCS	precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, completeness, and sensitivity
MDCC	maximum detected constituent concentration	NE	no evidence; northeast	Parsons	Parsons Engineering Science, Inc.
MDL	method detection limit	ne	not evaluated	Pb	lead
mg	milligrams	NEW	net explosive weight	PBMS	performance-based measurement system
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram	NFA	No Further Action	PC	permeability coefficient
mg/kg/day	milligram per kilogram per day	NG	National Guard	PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
mg/kgbw/day	milligrams per kilogram of body weight per day	NGP	National Guardsperson	PCDD	polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins
mg/L	milligrams per liter	ng/L	nanograms per liter	PCDF	polychlorinated dibenzofurans
mg/m ³	milligrams per cubic meter	NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum	PCE	perchloroethene
mh	inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine, sandy or silt soils	Ni	nickel	PCP	pentachlorophenol
MHz	megahertz	NIC	notice of intended change	PDS	Personnel Decontamination Station
µg/g	micrograms per gram	NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health	PEF	particulate emission factor
µg/kg	micrograms per kilogram	NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology	PEL	permissible exposure limit
µg/L	micrograms per liter	NLM	National Library of Medicine	PES	potential explosive site
µmhos/cm	micromhos per centimeter	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System	Pest.	pesticides
min	minimum	NPW	net present worth	PETN	pentarey thritol tetranitrate
MINICAMS	miniature continuous air monitoring system	No.	number	PFT	portable flamethrower
ml	inorganic silts and very fine sands	NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	PG	professional geologist
mL	milliliter	NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effects-level	PID	photoionization detector
mm	millimeter	NR	not requested; not recorded; no risk		

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

PKA	Philo and Stendal soils local alluvium, 0 to 2 percent slopes	RTC	Recruiting Training Center	STD	standard deviation
PM	project manager	RTECS	Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances	STEL	short-term exposure limit
POC	point of contact	RTK	real-time kinematic	STL	Severn-Trent Laboratories
POL	petroleum, oils, and lubricants	SA	exposed skin surface area	STOLS	Surface Towed Ordnance Locator System®
POW	prisoner of war	SAD	South Atlantic Division	Std. units	standard units
PP	peristaltic pump; Proposed Plan	SAE	Society of Automotive Engineers	SU	standard unit
ppb	parts per billion	SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation	SUXOS	senior UXO supervisor
PPE	personal protective equipment	SAP	installation-wide sampling and analysis plan	SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
ppm	parts per million	sc	clayey sands; sand-clay mixtures	SW	surface water
PPMP	Print Plant Motor Pool	Sch.	Schedule	SW-846	U.S. EPA's <i>Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste: Physical/Chemical Methods</i>
ppt	parts per thousand	SCM	site conceptual model	SWMU	solid waste management unit
PR	potential risk	SD	sediment	SWPP	storm water pollution prevention plan
PRA	preliminary risk assessment	SDG	sample delivery group	SZ	support zone
PRG	preliminary remediation goal	SDZ	safe distance zone; surface danger zone	TAL	target analyte list
PSSC	potential site-specific chemical	SEMS	Southern Environmental Management & Specialties, Inc.	TAT	turn around time
pt	peat or other highly organic silts	SF	cancer slope factor	TB	trip blank
PVC	polyvinyl chloride	SFSP	site-specific field sampling plan	TBC	to be considered
QA	quality assurance	SGF	standard grade fuels	TCA	trichloroethane
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control	SHP	installation-wide safety and health plan	TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
QAM	quality assurance manual	SI	site investigation	TCDF	tetrachlorodibenzofurans
QAO	quality assurance officer	SINA	Special Interest Natural Area	TCE	trichloroethene
QAP	installation-wide quality assurance plan	SL	standing liquid	TCL	target compound list
QC	quality control	SLERA	screening-level ecological risk assessment	TCLP	toxicity characteristic leaching procedure
QST	QST Environmental, Inc.	sm	silty sands; sand-silt mixtures	TDEC	Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation
qty	quantity	SM	Serratia marcescens	TDGCL	thiodiglycol
Qual	qualifier	SMDP	Scientific Management Decision Point	TDGCLA	thiodiglycol chloroacetic acid
'R'	rejected data; resample	s/n	signal-to-noise ratio	TERC	Total Environmental Restoration Contract
R&A	relevant and appropriate	SOP	standard operating procedure	THI	target hazard index
RA	remedial action	SOPQAM	U.S. EPA's <i>Standard Operating Procedure/Quality Assurance Manual</i>	TIC	tentatively identified compound
RAO	removal action objective	sp	poorly graded sands; gravelly sands	TLV	threshold limit value
RBC	risk-based concentration	SP	submersible pump	TN	Tennessee
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	SPCC	system performance calibration compound	TNT	trinitrotoluene
RD	remedial design	SPCS	State Plane Coordinate System	TOC	top of casing; total organic carbon
RDX	cyclonite	SPM	sample planning module	TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbons
ReB3	Rarden silty clay loams	SQRT	screening quick reference tables	TR	target cancer risk
REG	regular field sample	Sr-90	strontium-90	TRADOC	U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
REL	recommended exposure limit	SRA	streamlined human health risk assessment	TRPH	total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons
RFA	request for analysis	SRM	standard reference material	TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
RfC	reference concentration	Ss	stony rough land, sandstone series	TSDF	treatment, storage, and disposal facility
RfD	reference dose	SS	surface soil	TWA	time-weighted average
RGO	remedial goal option	SSC	site-specific chemical	UCL	upper confidence limit
RI	remedial investigation	SSHO	site safety and health officer	UCR	upper certified range
RL	reporting limit	SSHP	site-specific safety and health plan	'U'	not detected above reporting limit
RME	reasonable maximum exposure	SSL	soil screening level	UF	uncertainty factor
ROD	Record of Decision	SSSL	site-specific screening level	USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
RPD	relative percent difference	SSSSL	site-specific soil screening level	USACHPPM	U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine
RRF	relative response factor	STB	supertropical bleach	USAEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center
RSD	relative standard deviation	STC	source-term concentration	USAEHA	U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

USACMLS	U.S. Army Chemical School
USAMPS	U.S. Army Military Police School
USATCES	U.S. Army Technical Center for Explosive Safety
USATEU	U.S. Army Technical Escort Unit
USATHAMA	U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Material Agency
USC	United States Code
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	underground storage tank
UTL	upper tolerance level; upper tolerance limit
UXO	unexploded ordnance
UXOQCS	UXO Quality Control Supervisor
UXOSO	UXO safety officer
V	vanadium
VOA	volatile organic analyte
VOC	volatile organic compound
VOH	volatile organic hydrocarbon
VQlfr	validation qualifier
VQual	validation qualifier
VX	nerve agent (O-ethyl-S-[diisopropylaminoethyl]-methylphosphonothiolate)
WAC	Women's Army Corps
Weston	Roy F. Weston, Inc.
WP	installation-wide work plan
WRS	Wilcoxon rank sum
WS	watershed
WSA	Watershed Screening Assessment
WWI	World War I
WWII	World War II
XRF	x-ray fluorescence
yd ³	cubic yards

R – Non-target compound analyzed for but not detected (GC/MS methods)
S – Non-target compound analyzed for and detected (GC/MS methods)
T – Non-target compound analyzed for but not detected (non GC/MS methods)
U – Analysis in unconfirmed
Z – Non-target compound analyzed for and detected (non-GC/MS methods)

Qualifiers

J – The low-spike recovery is low
N – The high-spike recovery is low
R – Data is rejected

SAIC – Data Qualifiers, Codes and Footnotes, 1995 Remedial Investigation

N/A – Not analyzed

ND – Not detected

Boolean Codes

LT – Less than the certified reporting limit

Flagging Codes

9 – Non-demonstrated/validated method performed for USAEC

B – Analyte found in the method blank or QC blank

C – Analysis was confirmed

D – Duplicate analysis

I – Interfaces in sample make quantitation and/or identification to be suspicious

J – Value is estimated

K – Reported results are affected by interfaces or high background

N – Tentatively identified compound (match greater than 70%)

Q – Sample interference obscured peak of interest